REPORT

ON THE

ADMINISTRATION OF CIVIL JUSTICE

IN THE

PROVINCE OF BIHAR AND ORISSA

DURING THE YEAR

1934.

PATNA :
SUPERINTENDENT, GOVERNMENT PRINTING, BIHAR AND ORISSA.
1935.

[*Price—Rs.* 2-3-0.]

Priced Publications of the Government of Bihar and Orissa can be had from—

IN INDIA.

The Superintendent, Government Printing, Bihar and Orissa Gulzarbagh P. O.

(1) Messrs. Thacker Spink & Co., Calcutta.

(2) Messrs. W. Newman & Co., Calcutta.

(3) Messrs. S. K. Lahiri & Co., College Street, Calcutta.

(4) Messrs. R. Cambray & Co., 6 and 8-2, Hastings Street, Calcutta.

(5) Messrs. Thompson & Co., Madras.

(6) Messrs. D. B. Taraporevala Sons & Co., 103, Meadow Street, Fort, Post Box No. 18, Bombay.

(7) Messrs. M. C. Sirkar & Sons, 75, Harrison Road, Calcutta.

(8) Proprietor of the Newal Kishore Press, Lucknow.

(9) Messrs. M. N. Burman & Co., Bankipore.

(10) Babu Ram Dayal Agarwala, 184, Katra Road, Allahabad.

(11) The Standard Literature Co., Ltd., 13-1, Old Court House Street, Calcutta.

(12) Manager of the Indian School Supply Depôt, 309, Bow Bazar Street, Calcutta.

(13) Messrs. Butterworth & Co., Ltd., 6, Hastings Street, Calcutta.

(14) Messrs. Ram Krishna & Sons, Anarkali Street, Lahore.

(15) The Oxford Book and Stationery Company, Delhi.

(16) Messrs. Das Brothers, Nouzerkatra, Patna City.

(17) The Book Company, 4/4(a), College Square, Calcutta

(18) Mr. K. L. Mathur, Guzri, Patna City.

(19) Messrs. Raghunath Prasad & Sons, Patna City.

(20) The Grantha Mandir, Cuttack.

(21) Babu Madan Mohan Singh, Proprietor, Messrs. R. P. Sinha & Brothers, Guzri, Patna City.

(22) Messrs. Sampson William & Co., 127-B, The Mall, Cawnpur.

IN EUROPE AND AMERICA.

The Office of the High Commissioner for India, Public Department, India House, Aldwych, London, W. C. 2.

[Maximum limit of pages—29.]

REPORT

ON THE

ADMINISTRATION OF CIVIL JUSTICE

IN THE

PROVINCE OF BIHAR AND ORISSA

DURING THE YEAR

1934.

During the year under report the following changes took place in the personnel of the High Court:—

(1) The Hon'ble Sir Stewart Macpherson, KT., C.I.E., I.C.S., was on leave from the 2nd of July, 1934, to the 13th of October, 1934, and the Hon'ble Mr. Justice J. A. Saunders, I.C.S., acted in his place.

(2) The Hon'ble Mr. Justice S. B. Dhavle, I.C.S., was on leave from the 2nd of July, 1934, to the 13th of October, 1934, and the Hon'ble Mr. Justice T. Luby, I.C.S., acted in his place.

(3) The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Kulwant Sahay who was on leave preparatory to retirement from the 22nd of December, 1933, to the 21st of January, 1934, retired from service from the 22nd of January, 1934, and the Hon'ble Mr. Justice S. P. Varma, Bar.-at-Law, who was acting in the leave vacancy from the 2nd of January, 1934, was appointed in his place.

(4) The Hon'ble Mr. Justice A. W. E. Wort was on leave from the 2nd to the 13th of July, 1934. No substitute was appointed.

(5) The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Khwaja Muhammad Nur was on leave from the 26th of October to the 5th of November, 1934. No substitute was appointed.

(6) The Hon'ble Mr. Justice C. M. Agarwala was on leave from the 23rd of March to the 19th of April, 1934. No substitute was appointed.

A temporary appointment of Additional Judge, sanctioned with effect from the 3rd of March, 1933, was extended up to the beginning of the vacation of 1934. A similar appointment was sanctioned from the 26th of October, 1934, to the beginning of the vacation of 1935. The appointment was held by the

Hon'ble Mr. Justice J. A. Saunders, I.C.S., from the beginning of the year up to the 16th of April, 1934, and by the Hon'ble Mr. Justice F. G. Rowland, I.C.S., from the 26th of October, 1934, to the end of the year.

2. The permanent civil judicial staff subordinate to the High Court consisted of 15 District Judges, 28 Subordinate Judges, and 90 Munsifs. During the year under report, six Munsifs on probation were confirmed and four new probationers were appointed. The services of the three peripatetic Additional District and Sessions Judges were utilized in the following manner :—

One was posted to Patna from the 2nd of January to the 5th of February, from the 14th of February to the 6th of May, and from the 24th of June to the end of the year.

One was posted to Saran from the 14th of August to the 6th of October.

One was posted to Muzaffarpur from the 7th of May to the 25th of June and from the 13th of November to the end of the year.

One was posted to Shahabad from the 8th of July to the 22nd of December.

One was posted to Darbhanga from the beginning of the year up to the 5th of June.

One was posted to Gaya from the beginning of the year up to the 1st of June.

The following temporary appointments were also made :—

(1) An Additional District and Sessions Judge was employed at Patna from the 28th of November to the end of the year.

(2) An Additional Judicial Commissioner was employed at Hazaribagh in the judgeship of Chota Nagpur from the 15th of January up to the 12th of April.

(3) An Additional District and Sessions Judge was employed at Muzaffarpur from the beginning of the year up to the 6th of May.

(4) An Additional Subordinate Judge was employed at Patna from the 22nd of January to the end of the year.

(5) An Additional Subordinate Judge was employed at Gaya from the 27th of January to the end of the year.

(6) The terms of the two temporary appointments of Munsif for the office of Registrar of the civil courts at Patna and Gaya were again extended for one year.

As in previous years, the Assistant Registrar of the Cuttack Circuit Court was throughout the year also an Additional Munsif of Cuttack.

3. The number of original suits instituted in the province was 225,332, an increase of 6,547 on the number instituted in 1933.

There was an increase in litigation in nine districts and a decrease in three. The increase was most marked in Bhagalpur (2,150), Patna (1,464), Gaya (1,284) and Darbhanga (1,169). The decrease was in Purnea (1,511), in Saran (930) and in Manbhum-Sambalpur (363).

Of the 225,332 suits instituted, 52,789 were for money or movable property ; 157,321 were rent-suits, and 15,222 were title and other miscellaneous suits, a decrease of 2·9 per cent, an increase of 5·6 per cent and a decrease of 1·1 per cent, respectively, in comparison with the figures of the previous year. The value of the suits instituted amounted to Rs. 5,74,79,413, an increase of Rs. 84,00,433 as compared with 1933.

The figures for the last five years are as follows :—

—	Money-suits.	Rent-suits.	Title-suits.	Total number.	Total value.
1	2	3	4	5	6
					Rs.
1930	52,581	122,030	19,355	193,966	5,73,02,046
1931	53,196	122,116	16,588	191,900	4,42,32,266
1932	54,659	121,926	15,275	191,860	6,19,57,896
1933	54,363	149,036	15,386	218,785	4,90,78,980
1934	52,789	157,321	15,222	225,332	5,74,79,413

As in the previous year a marked feature is the increase in the number of rent-suits. The decrease in the number of title-suits which has been a feature of recent years, has also continued. There can be little doubt that both features find their explanation in the same cause—lack of money due to the economic depression.

4. The following table shows in order of magnitude the number of suits instituted in each district :—

(1) Muzaffarpur	... ...	33,124
(2) Darbhanga	... ...	27,777

(3) Saran	25,769
(4) Bhagalpur	20,812
(5) Shahabad	20,287
(6) Patna	20,207
(7) Purnea	19,744
(8) Monghyr	18,392
(9) Cuttack	14,545
(10) Gaya	11,762
(11) Manbhum-Sambalpur	8,461
(12) Chota Nagpur	4,452

Bhagalpur has risen from seventh place to fourth. Purnea has fallen from fourth to seventh. There is little other change in the relative positions of the districts. In Cuttack and Chota Nagpur and in the major portion of Manbhum-Sambalpur rent-suits are not instituted in the civil courts and do not figure in the above table. Out of the 33,124 suits instituted in Muzaffarpur 25,837 were rent-suits. As in previous years the largest number of money-suits (11,141) and of title-suits (3,404) was instituted in Cuttack.

The number of money-suits rose in five districts and fell in seven. The largest increase was in Shahabad (306) and the largest decrease was again in Purnea (749).

The number of suits under the Bengal Tenancy Act rose in seven districts and fell in two. The increase was most marked in Bhagalpur (2,182), Monghyr (1,665), Darbhanga (1,642) and Patna (1,272). The increase is once more indicative of the fact that many tenants found it impossible in recent circumstances to pay their rent. It should not, however, be forgotten that as suits are not generally filed until arrears are three years old the number of rent-suits filed in any year reflects chiefly the incidence of payment three years previously. It is for this reason that whereas the economic depression started in 1929, the number of rent-suits, reflecting the boom years, went on decreasing until 1932, and it was only in 1933 that the sharp rise began. A further increase during 1935 may with some confidence be predicted, despite the recent improvement in economic conditions. The largest decreases were in Saran (781) and Purnea (775). In Saran the fall was conspicuous in certain courts and was mainly due to the fact that the area falling within the territorial jurisdiction of these courts was seriously affected by floods just before the *tamadi* day and the landlords were too preoccupied with saving their property to be diligent in the realisation

of rent. In Purnea the decrease is attributed to the fact that in 1933 an unusually large number of rent-suits was instituted by the Darbhanga Raj.

The number of title-suits rose in six districts and fell in six. The largest increases were in Chota Nagpur (201) and Cuttack (140). The largest decrease occurred in Muzaffarpur (273).

5. *Nature of suits instituted.*—The following table shows that, as in previous years, the majority of rent-suits were of a value not exceeding fifty rupees, while the majority of title-suits and of suits for money or movable property were of a value exceeding that amount:—

—	Suits for money and movable property.	Suits under the rent law.	Title and other suits.
1	2	3	4
Rs.			
Not exceeding 10 ...	1,330	38,768	673
Not exceeding 50 ...	14,396	70,129	2,867
Not exceeding 100 ...	12,463	25,331	2,079
Not exceeding 500 ...	20,336	20,936	5,418
Exceeding Rs. 500, or value not stated.	4,264	2,157	4,185
Total ...	52,789	157,321	15,222

Title-suits represented in value 60·6 per cent of the whole litigation of the province. Of the total number classed as title-suits, 44·4 per cent were for immovable property; 39·6 per cent were mortgage suits; 1·2 per cent were for specific relief; and the remaining 14·8 per cent were for reliefs of other kinds.

6. *Number of suits instituted and disposed of.*—The number of suits instituted (225,332) during the year, added to the number pending from the previous year (112,762) and to the number revived or otherwise received during the year (21,985) gives a total of 360,079 suits for disposal. The number of suits disposed of was 204,827. The number of suits for disposal increased by 13,042 and the number disposed of decreased by 6,215 as compared with the year 1933. The average disposal of suits in the courts of Subordinate Judges decreased from 355·6 in 1933 to 269·8 in 1934, and that of suits in the courts of Munsifs from 2,460·5 to 2,411·5.

The following table shows the disposal of contested and uncontested suits by Subordinate Judges and Munsifs during the years 1933 and 1934 calculated according to the method described in the report for 1930 :—

—	Contested.			Uncontested				Average disposal.			Percentage of contested disposal to total decided.	
	Under ordinary procedure.	Under small cause court powers.	Total.	Under ordinary procedure.	Under small cause court powers.	Total.	Total of columns 4 and 7.	Contested.	Uncontested.	Total.	Under ordinary procedure.	Under small cause court powers.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
By Subordinate Judges. 1933	982	820	1,802	1,136	3,927	5,063	6,865	93·3	262·3	355·6	46·4	17·3
By Subordinate Judges. 1934	965	715	1,680	929	3,238	4,167	5,847	77·5	192·3	269·8	50·9	18·1
By Munsifs. 1933	20,874	3,376	24,250	149,790	30,176	179,966	204,216	292·2	3,168·3	3,460·5	12·2	10·06
By Munsifs. 1934	20,837	3,270	24,107	145,170	29,670	174,840	198,947	292·2	2,119·3	2,411·5	12·5	9·9

As compared with the previous year the number of suits tried decreased in seven districts and increased in five. The largest decreases were in Bhagalpur (3,190), Patna (3,183), Shahabad (1,510) and Monghyr (1,482). The decrease was mainly in uncontested rent-suits disposed of by the munsifs.

The largest increase occurred in Darbhanga (3,048) and was also chiefly in uncontested rent-suits.

Of the total number of suits decided, 198,947 were disposed of by Munsifs (of which less than one-sixth fell within the small cause court procedure), 5,847 by Subordinate Judges, and 33 by District and Additional District Judges.

The number of suits disposed of under the small cause court procedure by Subordinate Judges was 794 less than in 1933. The number of such suits disposed of by Munsifs was less by 612.

7. *Results of suits.*—The following table shows the proportions of contested and uncontested suits to the total number of suits decided. It also shows the number of cases in which the plaintiffs were successful in whole or in part, and of cases in which the plaintiffs were unsuccessful. The variations from the figures of the previous year are not sufficient to call for special comment.

	After full trial.				Uncontested.							Retrial.		
	For plaintiff.	For defendant.	On reference to arbitration.	Total.	*Ex-parte.*	Compromised.	On admission of claim.	Otherwise (dismissed for default, etc., withdrawn and rejected).	Total.	Plaintiffs wholly or partly successful.	Plaintiffs unsuccessful.	Applications granted.	Applications rejected.	Percentage of successful applications.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
Number of suits (1934) ...	21,982	3,608	210	25,800	121,663	19,535	3,145	34,684	179,027	146,790	38,292	647	3,458	15·8
Percentage with reference to total number of cases disposed of (204,827) in 1934.	10·7	1·8	·1	12·6	59·4	9·5	1·6	16·9	87·4	71·7	18·7	...	...	...
Number of suits (1933) ...	21,812	3,981	294	26,087	126,126	23,302	3,046	32,581	185,055	150,984	36,562	666	3,393	16·8
Percentage with reference to total number of cases disposed of (211,142) in 1933.	10·3	1·9	·1	12·3	59·7	11·1	1·5	15·4	87·7	71·5	17·3	...	...	...

Of the 25,800 suits decided after contest, 210 or ·8 per cent were decided on reference to arbitration. Of the remaining 99·2 per cent, 85·2 per cent or 21,982 suits ended in favour of the plaintiff and 14 per cent or 3,608 suits in favour of the defendant. As in previous years, the number of suits compromised was highest in Cuttack (4,077) and these consisted mainly of petty suits brought by money-lenders.

8. *Applications for retrial.*—The number of applications for retrial was 4,102 or 3 per cent of the total number of cases dismissed for default or decided *ex-parte.* Of the total number of applications disposed of (4,105), 15·8 per cent were successful as compared with 15·6, 16 and 16·8 per cent, respectively, in the three preceding years. The percentage of applications granted was highest in Manbhum-Sambalpur (32·4) and Chota Nagpur (31·1) In the judgeship of Manbhum-Sambalpur the largest proportion of successful applications was in the courts of the Subordinate Judge of Purulia and the Tahsildar Munsif of Bargarh.

9. The following table gives the average duration of contested and uncontested suits disposed of by the various grades of courts :—

		District Judges.		Subordinate Judges.		Munsifs.	
		1934	1933	1934	1933	1934	1933
		Days.		Days.		Days.	
1		2	3	4	5	6	7
Under ordinary procedure	Contested ...	663·1	502·4	437·9	461·3	238·4	243·2
	Uncontested ...	317·4	359·1	285·5	276·6	139·9	128·7
Under small cause court procedure.	Contested ...	...	...	142·3	144·7	133·2	130·2
	Uncontested ...	...	...	89·8	93·7	71·9	79·4

There was a marked increase in the average duration of suits decided on contest in the courts of the District Judges. The duration was largest in the district of Patna (1,095 days) and was in respect of one case only. The exceptionally long duration was due to pressure of criminal work and to the addition of parties at a late stage. In Gaya also where the duration was

939 days, only one such case was decided on contest. The suit was under section 92 of the Code of Civil Procedure for the removal of the Mahanth of a trust property of considerable value and was hotly contested at every stage from the beginning. In Darbhanga the duration of 934 days is attributed to the effects of the earthquake of the 15th January, 1934, on account of which the parties could not look after their cases for about two months and adjournments had to be granted. The duration of contested suits under the ordinary procedure in the courts of the Subordinate Judges was above the average for the province in five districts, the highest figures being 563, 555 and 496 days in the districts of Monghyr, Cuttack and Muzaffarpur respectively. In Monghyr and Cuttack the increase is said to be due to the fact that a considerable number of long pending suits were finally disposed of on contest during the year. The increase in Muzaffarpur is attributed to the fact that for about three months following the earthquake the courts could not function properly and parties were unable to proceed with their cases and so had to be given long adjournments.

In the Munsifs' courts the average duration of suits decided on contest under the ordinary procedure was slightly lower than in the preceding year. The duration was above the average for the province in four districts, the highest figures being in the districts of Monghyr (304) and Bhagalpur (288).

As in the report for 1933, the average duration of suits has been computed in the manner adopted in the reports of previous years and not in the manner prescribed in letter no. F.-129—29-Judl., dated the 1st August, 1930, of the Government of India, and adopted in the Imperial statements appended to this report, where transfer is regarded as a method of disposal. The Court reiterate their opinion that statistics which show merely how long a suit or appeal has been pending in a particular court before disposal and ignore the fact that it may have been pending in other courts before it was received by the court which ultimately decided it, cannot but convey a misleading impression. This is particularly the case where, as frequently happens when a temporary additional officer is posted, large numbers of suits or appeals already ripe for hearing are transferred. If the method favoured by the Government of India be adopted the figures for average duration of suits decided on contest by Subordinate Judges and Munsifs will be 317·4 and 193·1 days respectively instead of 437·9 and 238·4. Similarly in paragraph 6 the disposals shown do not include disposals by transfer. To include them would involve showing many disposals where the suits in

question are actually still pending, and would also result in showing the same suit, where it has been much transferred, as disposed of several times over.

10. The number of suits pending at the close of the year rose from 112,763 in 1933 to 134,228 or by 19 per cent; and the number of suits pending for over one year from the date of institution also rose from 1,505 in 1933 to 1,715, an increase of 13·9 per cent. The reason this figure (1,715) differs from the figure of 1,230 for year old suits shown in Imperial Statement no. 5 is that in the latter transferred suits are shown as pending only from the date of transfer, in accordance with the instructions of the Government of India already referred to. The Court consider the latter figure misleading. Of the year old suits 1,224 were in the courts of munsifs and 491 in the courts of District Judges and Subordinate Judges. The numbers were largest in the districts of Monghyr (334), Patna (322), Muzaffarpur (262) and Darbhanga (191). The accumulation is as usual chiefly in the munsifs' courts. In the courts of the Subordinate Judges the accumulation is largest in the districts of Patna (87), Muzaffarpur (72), Chota Nagpur (58) and Gaya (55).

The following tables show separately the numbers of pending suits classified according to the years of institution in the superior courts and in the munsifs' courts in each judgeship :—

Superior Courts.

In the judgeship of—	1924.	1925.	1926.	1927.	1928.	1929.	1930.	1931.	1932.	1933.	1934.	Over 10 years.	Total.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Patna	...	...	...	...	...	2	3	3	6	75	271	...	360
Gaya	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	4	17	35	336	1	395
Shahabad	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	2	11	147	...	161
Saran	...	...	...	...	1	...	1	...	1	21	313	...	337
Muzaffarpur	...	...	...	...	1	...	...	1	8	64	269	...	343
Darbhanga	...	...	...	...	1	...	1	3	7	34	115	...	161
Bhagalpur	...	...	...	1	1	3	1	...	5	16	143	...	170
Monghyr	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	1	2	27	116	...	148
Purnea	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	3	8	100	1	113
Cuttack	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	1	3	11	133	...	149
Chota Nagpur ...	...	...	...	...	...	1	3	3	2	49	81	...	139
Manbhum-Sambalpur ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	1	37	348	...	387
Total ...	...	...	...	1	4	7	14	18	57	388	2,372	2	2,863

Munsifs' Courts.

In the judgeship of—	1924.	1925.	1926.	1927.	1928.	1929.	1930.	1931.	1932.	1933.	1934.	Over 10 years.	Total.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Patna	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	2	19	211	14,531	...	14,764
Gaya	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	1	3	32	7,359	...	7,396
Shahabad ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	1	15	96	11,060	...	11,173
Saran	...	...	...	1	...	...	1	2	1	33	15,822	...	15,859
Muzaffarpur ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	5	181	22,965	...	23,153
Darbhanga ...	..	...	...	...	1	...	2	...	14	128	20,686	...	20,831
Bhagalpur ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	7	68	13,791	...	13,867
Monghyr ...	...	...	...	...	...	1	...	2	69	230	12,577	...	12,879
Purnea	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	5	1	19	4,133	...	4,158
Cuttack... ...	...		...	...	...	...	2	...	2	18	3,710	...	3,732
Chota Nagpur ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	7	1,420	...	1,427
Manbhum-Sambalpur	...		...	...	...	...	...	...	1	38	2,087	...	2,126
Total ...	...	...	...	1	1	2	7	16	137	1,060	130,141	...	131,365

The delay in the disposal of the long pending suits is, as usual, attributed chiefly to one or more of the following causes : orders of stay passed by higher courts; pendency of appeals in the High Court and other appellate courts in connected cases; death of parties and consequent substitution of their heirs or representatives-in-interest; revival and restoration of suits or their transfer from one court to another; appointment of commissioners and delay in the receipt of their reports; remand of cases by superior courts, and adjournments necessitated by pressure of work or allowed at the instance of the parties.

11. There were 56,455 applications for the execution of decrees pending from the previous year and 133,659 applications were made during the year. Full satisfaction was obtained in 81,684 and partial satisfaction in 12,567 cases; in 36,535 cases the proceedings were returned as wholly infructuous, while 56,599 remained pending at the close of the year. The total percentage of infructuous cases was 27·9 as against 27·8 in 1933. As in previous years, the proportion of infructuous proceedings was highest in Cuttack (61·2), Chota Nagpur (50·8) and Manbhum-Sambalpur (49·8), the variation from the figures for 1933 being in each case slight. The District Judge of Cuttack is of opinion that the reason for the high percentage is that a large number of cases were settled out of court and that the tendency of judgment-debtors to conceal

or transfer their property to evade decree-holders is gradually increasing. The Judicial Commissioner of Chota Nagpur reports that the high percentage in his judgeship is mainly due to the non-transferability of *raiyati* lands and economic depression. In some cases no property is found and petitions for executing decrees are filed only to keep them alive; in other cases part payments are made out of court and execution cases are allowed to be dismissed for default. In Manbhum-Sambalpur the reasons given are the same as in the previous year, namely, that many of the applications were made merely to keep the decrees alive and in some cases adjustments made out of court were not certified.

The total amount realized in courts, other than small cause courts, was Rs. 1,54,11,152. The coercive measures employed were in 447 cases, arrest of the judgment-debtor, in 773 and 42,134 cases, respectively, sale of movable and immovable properties, and in 34,712 cases attachment of property followed by its release. Possession of immovable property was given in 1,583 cases, of which the largest number (280) was in the district of Manbhum-Sambalpur. Out of these 280 cases, the largest number, namely, 63 occurred in the Court of the Munsif of Purulia against 107 in the previous year.

12. Of cases of a judicial nature other than suits and execution proceedings, 30,367 were instituted, 29,645 were disposed of and 9,787 remained pending. Of the cases disposed of, 3,893 were compromised or decreed on confession, 5,060 were decided *ex-parte* and 8,687 were contested. Of the total number of applications disposed of after contest, 45·5 per cent were granted. Of the pending cases only 56 were more than a year old.

In respect of miscellaneous cases of a non-judicial nature institutions and disposals numbered 1,268 and 1,229, respectively. The number of applications for deposit of rent was highest in Muzaffarpur (55) and Saran (37).

APPELLATE JURISDICTION.

I.—Appeals in the High Court.

13. The first of the following tables gives the number of appeals and miscellaneous cases instituted and disposed of in the High Court during the year; the second shows the comparative institutions of appeals during the past five years. A decrease of 343 is shown in the number of appeals and an increase of 74 in the number of miscellaneous cases pending at the end of the year. The number of appeals tried was 2,104 as against 2,110 in 1932 and 2,990 in 1933. The number of miscellaneous cases tried

was 880 as compared with 1,190 in 1932 and 1,036 in 1933. The pending figures at the close of 1932 were 4,441 appeals and 328 miscellaneous cases :—

	—	Pending at the close of 1933.	Preferred.	Decided.	Pending at the end of 1934.
	1	2	3	4	5
Appeals.	First Appeals—				
	From decrees ..	595	192	163	624
	From orders ..	217	249	266	200
	Second Appeals—				
	From decrees ..	2,896	1,189	1,541	2,544
	From orders ..	41	116	92	65
	Letters patent appeals ..	47	13	42	18
	Appeals from decisions of a single Judge of the High Court in exercise of original jurisdiction.	2	2	..	4
Miscellaneous Cases.	Civil review... ..	16	26	33	9
	Civil revision ..	174	785	719	240
	Civil reference ..	1	8	4	5
	Reference under section 27 of the Workmen's Compensation Act, 1923.	..	..	..	..
	Miscellaneous judicial cases.	39	97	85	51
	Applications for leave to appeal to His Majesty in Council.	26	38	39	25
	Total ...	4,054	2,715	2,984	3,785

High Court Appeals Instituted.

—	First appeals.		Second appeals.	
	From decrees.	From orders.	From decrees.	From orders.
1	2	3	4	5
1930	289	250	1,900	92
1931	204	265	1,837	72
1932	230	269	1,914	72
1933	209	286	1,587	72
1934	192	249	1,189	116

In addition to the appeals and miscellaneous cases shown in the first table above one original case was instituted in 1934 and one was pending at the end of 1933. Of these two cases, one was decided on contest during the year and the other remained pending. The decrease (1,042) in the total number of cases decided, as compared with 1933, comprises a fall in disposals in almost all classes of cases, the most marked (655) being in the number of second appeals from decrees. The decrease is mainly due to the dislocation of work caused by the earthquake of January, 1934. Moreover, the big Handwa appeal took up a considerable time of the Bench and the temporary Additional Judge worked for 98 days only as against 138 days in 1933. Although there has been a fall (352) in the number of second appeals from decrees pending, the congestion has increased in respect of first appeals from decrees.

Fuller particulars of the civil revision cases disposed of in the High Court during the year are given in the table below :—

—	Summarily rejected.	Disposed of after admission.					
		Dismissed for default or otherwise not prosecuted.	Confirmed.	Modified.	Reversed.	Remanded.	Total of columns 2 to 7.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Civil revisions under the Small Cause Courts Act.	114	19	53	8	11	5	215
Other civil revisions	237	42	139	7	73	16	504

Of the 163 appeals from original decrees decided during the year, 70 were uncontested, of which 28 were compromised, and the remainder dismissed for default or otherwise not prosecuted. Of 93 decided after contest, in 72 cases or 77·4 per cent the decrees of the lower court were confirmed, in 16 or 17·2 per cent reversed and in 5 or 5·4 per cent modified.

Of 1,541 appeals from appellate decrees of District Judges and Subordinate Judges which were decided, 310, being approximately 27 per cent of the total number heard for admission under Order 41, rule 11, Civil Procedure Code, were summarily rejected. Of those decided after admission, 67·8 per cent were infructuous, 96 being compromised, 28 withdrawn and 28 dismissed for default, while of the appeals actually heard after admission, in 683 or 63·3 per cent the decisions were confirmed, in 165 or 15·3 per cent reversed, in 151 or 14 per cent modified and 80 appeals or 7·4 per cent were remanded.

Of 358 appeals from orders decided, 101 were summarily rejected being approximately 28·5 per cent of those heard for admission. Of those decided after admission, 65 were uncontested, being dismissed for default or otherwise not prosecuted, and 192 were contested. Of the latter, 84 orders were confirmed, 64 reversed, in 7 cases the order was varied, and 37 cases were remanded. Thus of those decided after admission 58 per cent were infructuous.

II. Appellate Courts subordinate to the High Court.

14 ppeals instituted numbered 5,196, being 450 less than in 1933, a decrease of 8 per cent. The largest decreases were in Muzaffarpur (167) and Bhagalpur (105). The decrease in Muzaffarpur is attributed to the general economic depression and also to the earthquake. In Bhagalpur the decrease is due to the fact that an exceptionally large number of rent appeals happened to be filed in the year 1933 including one large batch. In Saran 69 more appeals were instituted than in the previous year. The increase was entirely in rent appeals.

Appeals were preferred in 24·7 per cent of appealable cases, nearly 2 per cent lower than last year and the lowest percentage since 1916. The highest percentages were in Cuttack (37·4), Shahabad (29·9), Chota Nagpur (29·1) and Manbhum-Sambalpur (27·6) and the lowest in Bhagalpur (15·1), Muzaffarpur (15·4) and Purnea (18·5).

Appeals disposed of numbered 5,687, 1,444 less than in 1933, of which 2,860 or 50·3 per cent were decided by District and

Additional District Judges and 2,827 or 49·7 per cent by Subordinate Judges. The corresponding percentages in 1933 were almost the same, namely, 50·4 and 49·6 per cent for District Judges including Additional District Judges and for Subordinate Judges respectively. As in previous years, the proportion of the civil appellate work done by the District and Additional District Judges was much smaller in some judgeships than in others. In Muzaffarpur the Subordinate Judges decided 63·7 per cent of the appeals, in Gaya 63 per cent and in Cuttack 59·6 per cent. The District Judge and the Additional District Judge of Muzaffarpur devoted only 91 and 20 days respectively to civil work, the District Judge and Additional District Judge of Gaya 98 and 40 days respectively, and the District Judge of Cuttack 111 days. Fluctuations in the figures showing disposal of appeals occurred chiefly in Shahabad (—508), Cuttack (—373), Purnea (—285) and Muzaffarpur (—231). In Shahabad, the District Judge was unable to dispose of more than 270 appeals during the year as against 710 in 1933 as he was engaged in the trial of a very lengthy gang case. In Cuttack and in Purnea the decrease was in disposals both by the District Judge and the Subordinate Judges. In both districts the District Judge had to devote more time to criminal work (in Purnea there was no Assistant Sessions Judge in the district for about six months) and the Subordinate Judges spent considerable time in trying large original suits. The decrease in disposals by the Subordinate Judges at Cuttack was also due to the fact that there was an additional Subordinate Judge's court for about two months and a half less than in 1933. In Muzaffarpur the decrease in disposals by the District Judge is attributed to the fact that several of the appeals heard by him were complicated and lengthy and the decrease in disposals by the Subordinate Judges to the fact that they were employed for a less number of days in civil work than in 1933.

The Court observe with regret that the proportion of appeals decided by the District Judges themselves during the year under report was no better than in the previous year, while the total number decided by them was 737 less. While the Court feel that until the recently sanctioned increase in the cadre of District Judges comes into operation no very marked improvement in this respect can be looked for, they are confident that some at least of the District Judges might well have found time to decide more civil appeals than they did.

At the close of the year 4,203 appeals remained pending being 368 less than at the end of 1933. The number of year

old appeals decreased from 529 to 370 or by 30 per cent. The largest number so pending was in Patna (120).

The Hon'ble Judges do not desire to repeat the remarks made by them in paragraph 9 with regard to the Imperial Statements. They are, however, equally applicable to the figures for appeals.

15. *Results of appeals.*—In 3,107 cases or 54·6 per cent of the whole, the judgment of the lower court was affirmed; in 888 cases or 15·6 per cent it was modified; and in 850 or 15 per cent it was reversed; 183 appeals or 3·2 per cent were remanded, and 659 or 11·6 per cent were either not prosecuted or were dismissed for default. Thus there was interference in appeal in approximately one case in three.

16. *Miscellaneous appeals.*—The number of appeals of this class instituted was 1,955; 2,014 were disposed of and 710 remained pending at the close of the year.

17. *Courts subordinate to the High Court in the district of the Santal Parganas.*—The Courts established under the Bengal, Agra and Assam Civil Courts Act, 1887 in the district of the Santal Parganas are the Court of the District Judge and the courts of the Subordinate Judges. The Deputy Commissioner is the District Judge and the Subdivisional Officers at Dumka, Deoghar, Jamtara, Pakaur, Godda and Rajmahal exercise the functions of a Subordinate Judge under section 36 (1) of the Act. During the year 134 suits were instituted in the district, of which 70 were money-suits; 30 were for rent; and 34 were title and other suits. Including 126 suits pending at the close of 1933, and four suits revived and 1 otherwise received in 1934, the total number of suits for disposal was 265. Of these, 30 were decided on contest and 95 without contest, and 140 suits remained pending at the end of the year. The total value of the suits instituted in the district was Rs. 4,43,437.

Eighty-two miscellaneous judicial cases were before the courts for disposal and of these, 47 were decided on and 22 without contest, and 13 remained pending at the close of the year.

There were in all thirteen appeals before the District Judge against the decisions of the Subordinate Judges in the district. Six were disposed of and seven remained pending at the close of the year. The judgment was confirmed in all the six cases disposed of.

GENERAL.

18. *Receipts and charges.*—The receipts of the civil courts in Bihar and Orissa for the year amounted to Rs. 58,50,835 and charges to Rs. 19,71,946, a decrease of Rs. 3,75,218 in receipts and of Rs. 60 in charges as compared with 1933. Including Rs. 34,127 realized on account of duty on probates, etc., there was a profit to Government from civil litigation of Rs. 39,13,016. The large decrease in receipts was chiefly under the head "other fees". The details of receipts and charges are as follows :—

Receipts.			Charges.		
		Rs.			Rs.
In stamps	Process fees ...	11,49,439	Salaries of judicial officers ...		7,94,058
	Other fees ...	43,39,396	Establishment	Process-servers	2,18,111
In cash or special stamps.	Fines ...	1,089		Others ...	6,67,811
	Copying and comparing fees ...	2,13,688	Copyists' fees		1,55,097
	Other receipts ...	1,47,223	Contingencies and refund ...		1,36,869
	Total ...	58,50,835	Total ...		19,71,946

The above figures do not include receipts and charges in respect of the civil courts subordinate to the High Court in the district of the Santal Parganas.

19. *Stamps.*—The practice of using several stamps of lower denominations instead of the requisite stamp of higher value is still prevalent in some districts. The peripatetic stamp reporters are drawing attention to this irregularity wherever it is discovered.

The amount realized as deficit court-fees on the reports of the stamp reporter in the High Court was Rs. 25,723 against Rs. 33,896 in 1933 and Rs. 20,660 in 1932. The reported deficits which were not realized as the parties allowed their appeals to be dismissed sooner than pay, amounted to Rs. 8,626 against Rs. 5,618 in 1933 and Rs. 13,934 in 1932.

A total sum of Rs. 168 was realized as deficit court-fees in the Circuit Court at Cuttack during the year under report.

The two temporary peripatetic stamp reporters appointed to check the realizations of court-fees in the subordinate civil courts

visited the judgeships of Darbhanga, Purnea, Chota Nagpur, Manbhum-Sambalpur, Saran, Gaya and Muzaffarpur during the year. They reported deficiencies in the payment of court-fees to the extent of Rs. 21,303 and their reports in respect of Rs. 11,715 were accepted by the courts. The total amount realized from the parties during the year was Rs. 6,121.

20. *Commissions and Commissioners.*—The total number of commissions requiring some knowledge of surveying, including commissions for making partitions, executed during the year under report, was 1,189; of these 624 or 52·5 per cent were executed by pleaders holding certificates of proficiency in surveying; 179 or 15 per cent were executed by commissioners who had executed survey commissions in the judgeship during a period of not less than 10 years; and 386 or 32·5 per cent were executed by other persons, a category including qualified surveyors, persons who had passed the Bachelor of Civil Engineering or the Intermediate Civil Engineering examination, and pleaders who had attended the course of training but had not passed the pleaders' survey examination.

As stated in previous reports instructions were conveyed by a General Letter (No. 1 of 1930) to the District Judges that commissions requiring a knowledge of surveying should always be entrusted to pleaders who have passed the survey examination if pleaders so qualified are available and in a position to execute the commissions with reasonable despatch. As a result of these instructions, the percentage of commissions issued to such pleaders increased to 52·5 per cent as against 47·7 per cent in 1933 and 46·9 per cent in 1932. The number of pleaders who have passed the survey examination is now 71 for the whole province as compared with 63 in the previous year.

Towards the close of the year under report, the rules regarding the issue of commissions requiring a knowledge of surveying were amended with the approval of the local Government. By these amendments it is sought, without accepting inferior qualifications, to enlarge the class of persons to whom such commissions may be issued and to ensure more effective supervision by the courts over their work. The rules came into force from the 1st of December, 1934, and it is as yet too soon toattempt to form an opinion as to the results achieved. It is reported that steps have been taken in all the judgeships to give effect to these new rules.

The total amount of fees for commissions requiring some knowledge of surveying executed during the year was Rs. 41,006

of which Rs. 23,599 went to pleaders who had passed the qualifying examination, Rs. 7,020 to pleaders who had executed survey commissions during a period of not less than 10 years, and Rs. 10,387 to other persons.

The number of commissions of other kinds issued was 882 and the total amount of fees paid in respect of them was Rs. 23,308.

21. *Estates under the fiduciary management of civil courts.*—The accounts of estates under the fiduciary control of the civil courts the annual income of which exceeds Rs. 4,000, continued to be audited by the Local Audit Department.

Twenty-three reports on twenty-three estates were received during the year. As noticed last year audit notes are now being dealt with in accordance with rules recently framed which require the courts concerned to pass final orders on the audit notes after the Local Audit Department has had an opportunity of commenting on the explanation of the Guardian, Manager or Receiver, as the case may be, on the defects to which attention has been drawn. The system continues to work satisfactorily and the regular audit of these estates has thereby gained in effectiveness and utility. The question of prescribing suitable forms and rules for the more methodical keeping of the accounts of these estates still engages the attention of the Court and it is expected that this matter will be finally settled in the near future.

22. *Cases reported under section 58(4) of the Bengal Tenancy Act, 1885.*—Eight cases were reported to the Collector during the year. In three of these the offending landlords were fined, in one case the landlord was discharged and in the remaining four cases the proceedings were dropped.

23. *Buildings.*—The damage caused to judicial buildings by the earthquake of the 15th January, 1934, is noticed in detail in a subsequent paragraph of this report. Repairs have already been effected where repair has been found possible. New buildings are being constructed at some stations while, in others, suitable measures are being taken to construct them. Steps are also being taken to construct a new record-room at Patna, the need for which has been keenly felt for many years.

The District Judge of Cuttack again reports that extra court rooms are needed both at Cuttack and at Jajpur in order to accommodate the additional munsif when one is posted. The District Judge of Gaya reports that want of accommodation for additional courts is keenly felt at Sadr and at Jehanabad. Plans

and estimates for an extension of the record-room at Gaya have been asked for from the District Judge, but the local Government have intimated that no guarantee can be given as to provision of funds for the project in the present financial stringency. The District Judge of Saran reports that considerable difficulty is felt for want of accommodation when a temporary additional court is sanctioned for Sadr.

Lack of funds still makes it impossible to carry out the projects for the construction of residences for Subordinate Judges, two at Chapra and one at Laheriasarai and of one residence for a Munsif at Katihar, which were sanctioned in 1930 and 1931. The District Judge of Gaya reports that neither the Subordinate Judges nor the Munsifs pressed for the construction of residences during the year under report and so nothing further was done in the matter.

24. *Libraries.*—The condition of the libraries of the civil courts is reported to be on the whole satisfactory. As a result of the earthquake, there was some temporary disorder in some of the libraries in the districts of Muzaffarpur and Darbhanga. Additions have been made to the libraries of the subordinate courts so far as the funds available permitted, but it is feared that some of them are still inadequate.

25. *Effects of recent legislation.—Panchayat Courts.*—There were in 1934 altogether 117 panchayat courts operating in the province. The distribution of these courts is as follows:—

Patna—3; Gaya—3; Saran—8; Muzaffarpur—94; Darbhanga—6; Bhagalpur—1; and Purnea—2. As in the previous year, the District Judge of Purnea reports that the establishment of panchayat courts has to some extent affected the institution of small cause court suits in the Munsifs' courts at Purnea and Araria. The District Judges of Patna, Gaya, Saran, Muzaffarpur, Darbhanga and Bhagalpur however adhere to the opinion which they expressed in previous years that the panchayat courts have not afforded any appreciable relief to the munsifs in whose jurisdiction they are situated. The District Judges of Saran and Muzaffarpur again report that there has been some addition, though slight, to the miscellaneous work of the District Judge in consequence of the revisional powers vested in him under section 78 of the Village Administration Act, 1922. The District Judge of Saran remarks that he does not think that the panchayat courts are popular, nor does their judicial work command respect. The District Judge of Muzaffarpur says that judging from the result of the revisional cases disposed of the panchayats are not very reliable tribunals.

Usurious Loans Act.—The Judicial Commissioner of Chota Nagpur and all the District Judges report that the provisions of the Usurious Loans Act, 1918, were applied by the subordinate courts in all cases where it was necessary to use them, and rates of interest were in general reasonably reduced where they were found excessive. In a large proportion of cases the courts acted *suo motu.* In general the action taken by them was upheld by the appellate courts.

Order XVI, rule 8, Civil Procedure Code.—The system of permitting service of summons on witnesses by parties or their agents, as provided by the addition made in 1929 to rule 8, Order XVI of the Code of Civil Procedure, still appears to be working satisfactorily everywhere except at Banka. 34,842 such processes were made over to the parties during the year under report against 35,403 in 1933. The Munsif of Banka again reports that the new rule is not working very satisfactorily and that the parties failed to return the service of summons in several cases. The number of cases (306) in which advantage of it was taken at Banka during the year was less than in the previous year (350). The innovation made it possible to reduce the process-serving establishment during the year under report in Manbhum-Sambalpur in which a reduction was made in 1933 also. The District Judge of Cuttack has reported that he has reduced his establishment in which there was an excess of 10 process-servers by 6 process-servers during the year. If no reduction has been made in the other judgeships, the reason in most cases is that the number of peons is still less than the maximum permitted by the rules. As a result of the working of the new rule, there was a loss in process-fees amounting to Rs. 69,825 as against a total of Rs. 11,49,439 received during the year. The loss is 5·7 per cent against 5·8 per cent in the previous year.

26. *Important amendments in the High Court Rules.*—During the year under report the rules for the preparation of paper-books in second appeals were remodelled. Under the new rules the paper-books of such appeals under Rs. 1,000 in value are no longer printed but are prepared in type. All typing for such paper-books is done in the office of the Court at the cost of the parties. Parties are in no circumstances allowed to file typed copies for the purpose. The new rules have worked smoothly and well. It is reported that the system has been appreciated both by litigants and by the Bar. It has also resulted in a saving of time in getting such appeals ready for hearing.

27. *Inspection.*—The courts of four Subordinate Judges and twenty-two Munsifs were inspected by the District Judges during

the year. Apart from the Subordinate Judges and Munsifs at Patna and Gaya, where there were civil court Registrars, all the Subordinate Judges except four and all the Munsifs except five inspected their offices. The civil court Registrars at Patna and Gaya inspected all the offices at Sadr in their respective districts.

In March, 1934, the Hon'ble Sir Stewart Macpherson, Kt., C.I.E., I.C.S., visited Muzaffarpur on inspection and in December, 1934, the Hon'ble the Chief Justice and the Hon'ble Sir Stewart Macpherson, Kt., C.I.E., I.C.S., inspected the sessions court at Dumka and the civil courts under Act XII of 1887 at that station as also those at Deoghar and Godda in the district of the Santal Parganas. The Hon'ble Judges also inspected the sessions and civil courts at Bhagalpur and Monghyr and the courts of the regular and Subdivisional Officer-Munsifs at Banka and Jamui.

28. *Investment of Subdivisional Officers of Banka, Monghyr Sadr and Jamui with powers to try civil suits in which Aborigines are parties.*—With a view to remove the prevalent discontent among the Santals of the southern part of the Bhagalpur division the local Government in consultation with the High Court invested, during the year under report, the Subdivisional Officers of Banka, Monghyr Sadr and Jamui with the powers of a munsif within their respective jurisdictions, and it was settled that they should try all suits up to Rs. 1,000 in value in which one or more of the parties not being a proforma defendant is a Santal or a Bhuiya. The District Judges of Bhagalpur and Monghyr accordingly issued orders under section 13(2) of the Civil Courts Act assigning the civil business among the regular Munsifs and the Subdivisional Officer-Munsifs in those subdivisions. The Subdivisional Officer-Munsifs have been vested also with the powers of a Judge of a Court of Small Causes, at Banka up to a value of Rs. 100 and at Monghyr Sadr and Jamui up to a value of Rs. 250 each as in the case of the Munsif.

29. *Working of the system of Registrar of civil courts at the headquarters of the District Judges.*—The experimental appointments of Registrar for the civil courts at Patna and at Gaya continued throughout the year, and the two appointments were sanctioned for another year, from the 10th of October, 1934, and the 16th of November, 1934, respectively. During the year under report, the office of Registrar was held by a Munsif both at Patna and at Gaya. The District Judge of Gaya reports that the system has fulfilled its object by providing stricter supervision than is possible by officers engaged in judicial work and has gone a long way to check corruption. The system has also brought additional revenue to Government as the income

from the Copying Department and the fees paid upon applications for inspection and information have increased to a considerable extent since the introduction of the system. During the year under report the income of the Copying Department was Rs. 26,319 as against Rs. 20,771-13-0 in the year 1927 in which the system was introduced. The income from the fees paid upon the applications of inspection and information was Rs. 1,587-4-0 and Rs. 425-8-0 respectively during the year 1934, while there was practically no income under these heads during the pre-Registrar days. The District Judge adds that it is time that the system was made permanent.

The District Judge of Patna says that the system is much appreciated both by litigants and the members of the bar. He adds that litigants are ready enough to approach the Registrar with complaints which they would hesitate to trouble the District Judge with, and that from an administrative point of view it is of great advantage to the District Judge to have a gazetted officer who knows practically all the clerks and their worth. It is also possible for the District Judge with the assistance of the Registrar to investigate matters which come to his notice during the hearing of civil appeals and which he might be unable to go into if he had to do so unaided. During the year under report, the income from copying fees, from applications for information and from applications for inspection was Rs. 23,950, Rs. 596 and Rs. 303-8-0, respectively, as against Rs. 19,801, Rs. 4-12-0 and Rs. 16-4-0, respectively, in the year 1924, the year just before that in which the system was introduced at Patna. In the opinion of the District Judge of Patna also the system should be made permanent.

The increased income at Patna and Gaya from copying fees, from applications for information, and for inspection, all clearly represents money which would otherwise have found its way into the pockets of corrupt subordinates and hangers on of the Courts. The system has in fact in the opinion of the Court amply proved itself as the most efficient means yet devised for checking corruption, surreptitious employment of outsiders and the similar abuses that are still unfortunately all too prevalent in the offices of the District Judiciary. The Hon'ble Judges are in complete accord with the opinion of the two District Judges who have observed the working of the system. They would like to see it made permanent where it exists, and to see it extended as soon as financial conditions render that possible. They consider too that the figures given leave little doubt that in many judgeships the system if introduced would go a long way towards saving its own cost.

30. *Nastaliq Urdu and Roman as optional scripts.*—The experiment of the use of Nastaliq Urdu and Roman as optional court scripts in the civil courts of the Patna Division continued during the year and will continue up to December, 1936. As stated in last year's report, the matter will be considered again when the further reports of the District Judges concerned on the working of the experiment are received at the end of October, 1936.

31. *Prosecution of cases in which there is an order of the Court to prosecute.*—In accordance with the instructions issued by the Court in 1933, the District Judges have reported the results of criminal cases instituted on the complaint of a court. During the year, 201 such cases came before the courts for trial, out of which 139 cases were disposed of and 62 remained pending at the end of the year. Of the cases disposed of, 91 (65·5 per cent) ended in conviction and 38 (27·3 per cent) in acquittal while in 10 cases (7·2 per cent) the prosecution was either dropped or withdrawn. These figures represent a considerable improvement upon those of the previous year.

The reports received from the various judgeships indicate that the instructions issued by the Court are being generally followed. The proportion of acquittals is still large but this is attributed to reasons other than inefficiency in the conduct of the prosecution. In several such cases witnesses were gained over, and in others the prosecution failed on technical grounds, for example, omission of the court seal upon the process resisted. The District Judge of Cuttack alone says that the Munsif, 2nd Court, Cuttack, has reported to him that the instructions laid down in paragraph 3 of the Court's General Letter on the subject regarding informal consultation with the complaining courts for the purpose of conducting the prosecution were not properly followed, and that the failure of the prosecution in one case was mainly due to this cause.

32. The remarks made in last year's report with regard to conditions in 1933 are equally applicable to those of 1934. The volume and the nature of the litigation which came before the subordinate courts during the year again go to indicate that the economic and agricultural depression still persists. The number of suits instituted (225,332) was once more the highest in the history of the province. On the other hand, the percentage of suits decided on contest remained almost the same, namely, 12·6 against 12·3 in the previous year, and the number of appeals instituted, which in 1933 had decreased by 629, showed a further decrease of 450. It is again evident that many plaintiffs

had recourse to the courts to prevent the recovery of comparatively small sums being barred by limitation and that many defendants were unable to contest the claims made against them or to take the matter beyond the court of first instance. To the uncontested nature of much of the litigation which came before them must once more be ascribed the absence of any serious congestion in the state of the files of the subordinate courts. At the end of the year though the number of year-old suits had slightly increased, (by 210 only), the number of appeals pending had decreased by 368 and the number of year-old appeals by 159.

Effect of the earthquake on the administration of civil justice.—During the year under report occurred the great Bihar earthquake of January 15th, 1934, and the Court consider it desirable to summarise briefly its effect upon judicial business.

HIGH COURT.

Buildings.—The High Court buildings were extensively damaged. The whole of the first floor, which contains all the court rooms, had to be abandoned for about six months. The difficulty was overcome by using as court rooms the chambers of the Hon'ble Judges which are on the groundfloor. After the vacation in July, repairs had progressed sufficiently to permit of four courts being held in the Court rooms upstairs. On the 29th October all the court rooms became ready for occupation. In the Record Room, though it is on the ground floor, all the iron racks containing the records collapsed, leaving the records mixed up in a tangle of bent iron-work, in a state of the utmost confusion, so that to extricate and sort them was a lengthy and difficult task. Records were stored where space could be found, even the lift chambers being utilised, but it was not until the beginning of June that it became possible to comply with requisitions for records. The total cost of the repairs to the High Court building was roughly Rs. 1¼ lakhs.

Judicial business.—The entire Court reopened in the lower storey on Monday, the 22nd of January. As the 17th, 18th and 20th January were gazetted holidays only two working days were lost. Owing, however, to the overcrowding and the noise and dust caused by the repair work overhead the Hon'ble Judges had to work for some months under conditions of very great difficulty and strain. After the earthquake the numerous cracks in the lower storey kept gradually extending day by day. The ceilings of several of the chambers had to be buttressed with wooden props and for some weeks it remained uncertain whether the

danger point might not be reached, necessitating the abandonment of the entire building at a moment's notice. Preparations were made to meet such an eventuality.

Institutions and disposals.—In the year 1934, 2,716 civil cases were instituted in the High Court as against 3,311 in 1933 and an annual average of 3,495 during the triennial period ending with the year 1933. This substantial drop in institutions was no doubt, in part at least, a result of the earthquake. The effect of the earthquake was even more marked on disposals. 2,985 cases were disposed of in 1934 as compared with 4,026 in 1933 and an annual average of 3,577 during the triennial period preceding the year 1934. The smaller disposals were mainly in second appeals. The absence of an adequate number of court rooms while the first floor was under repair made it impossible to arrange for single sittings as freely as before. The decrease in institutions, as also in disposals, was most marked during the first four months of the year, amounting to a decrease of 378 in institutions out of the total decrease of 595 for the whole year as compared with 1933, and a decrease of 728 in disposals out of the total decrease of 1,041 during the whole year. From July onwards figures were generally more or less normal.

SUBORDINATE COURTS.

Buildings.—The civil court buildings at Muzaffarpur, Sitamarhi, Madhubani, Purnea and Motihari were completely destroyed. The civil court buildings at Patna were seriously damaged and will have to be largely if not wholly rebuilt. The first floor of the civil court of Darbhanga has had to be dismantled and the corresponding accommodation will be provided in a separate one-storied building. Half of the civil court building at Bettiah will have to be rebuilt. There was serious damage to the civil court buildings at Madhipura (the headquarters of which have now been moved to Supaul), Monghyr (Sadr), Araria, Samastipur, Barh, Arrah, Chapra and Bhagalpur. In the judgeships of Manbhum-Sambalpur and Cuttack, there was no damage, and none in Chota Nagpur, with the exception of the Judicial Commissioner's Court which was badly cracked. At the remaining stations damage was not serious. Of the residential buildings, those of the District Judges of Patna and Muzaffarpur, the Subordinate Judge of Motihari, the first Subordinate Judge of Monghyr, the first Munsif of Sitamarhi and the Munsif of Motihari will have to be rebuilt.

The District Record Rooms were not seriously damaged except at Darbhanga, Patna, Arrah and Chapra. Some records

were damaged at Patna, Arrah and Sitamarhi but the actual loss of records appears to have been insignificant.

Judicial business.—On the 18th January, 1934, the Court by a Circular letter recommended that in areas affected by the earthquake, the civil courts should for some time exercise the widest discretion in granting adjournments, postponing sales and issuing temporary injunctions in matters concerning property. Attention was drawn to section 4 of the Limitation Act, and to section 33 of the Court-fees Act in regard to criminal cases. It was suggested that applications under section 5 of the Limitation Act be sympathetically considered. It was directed that every effort be made to safeguard records and registers and where a record had been destroyed to reconstruct it. Judicial officers were told to exercise commonsense combined with firmness to prevent abuse of legal procedure which was devised for times of tranquillity. District Judges were authorised to place at the disposal of the Executive Authorities, all Judicial Officers, who could be suitably spared, for earthquake work. The question of a moratorium was fully considered but none was found necessary: the Court, however, issued a circular letter to the District Judges of Muzaffarpur, Darbhanga, Monghyr, Saran, Purnea and Bhagalpur pointing out that under Order 21, rule 69, Code of Civil Procedure, it was open to the executing court to stay a sale, including a rent sale; before doing so, the court should come to a definite finding that the ability of the defendant to pay had been prejudicially affected by the earthquake. Attention was also drawn to the power of the court to postpone the time for payment of money decrees.

There was inevitably complete dislocation of work for some days in the more severely affected stations—Monghyr, Darbhanga, Purnea, Muzaffarpur, Bettiah, Motihari, Sitamarhi and Hajipur. Regular work recommenced at Purnea Sadr from the 22nd January, at Hajipur from the 24th January, at Motihari from the 29th January, at Bettiah from the 31st January, at Muzaffarpur Sadr from the 1st February and at Sitamarhi from the 13th February. At Monghyr Sadr and Darbhanga Sadr the courts reopened after the earthquake from the 25th January and the 22nd January respectively, but regular work did not commence before the 5th and the 13th February respectively. In other districts affected by the earthquake, excluding Ranchi Sadr, regular work went on uninterruptedly from the 16th January, though in some cases under considerable difficulty during the first few days. At Ranchi Sadr regular work commenced from the 22nd January.

Institutions and disposals.—In the districts affected by the earthquake, namely, Patna, Gaya, Shahabad, Saran, Muzaffarpur, Darbhanga, Bhagalpur, Monghyr and Purnea, there was a total institution of 197,874 suits and 4,202 appeals during the year 1934 as against 191,388 and 4,497 respectively during the year 1933, and an annual average of 173,464 and 5,695 during the triennial period ending with the year 1933. Comparing, however, the quarterly figures it appears that only 10,234 suits and 1,023 appeals were instituted during the first quarter of 1934 as against 13,004 and 1,104 respectively during the corresponding quarter of the preceding year and an average of 14,176 and 1,575 respectively for the first quarter of the triennial period preceding the year 1934. The decrease in the institutions of suits and appeals in the first quarter of 1934 may with some confidence be regarded as an aftermath of the earthquake. Having regard to the conditions in a number of the districts the decrease is surprisingly small. In the remaining quarters of the year institutions were more or less normal, excepting the institutions of rent suits which have been steadily rising for some years. At Monghyr 3,604 money suits were instituted during the year 1934 as against 4,223 during the year 1933 and an average of 4,393 per year during the triennial period of 1931-1933. It is reported by the District Judge that the fall in the institution of money suits was due to the fact that documents of creditors were lost under the debris, and some were recovered only after the expiry of the period of limitation.

Twenty thousand nine hundred and eighty-three contested suits and 155,910 uncontested suits were disposed of in the year 1934 as against 20,903 and 161,774 respectively during the preceding year. Having regard to the circumstances in which work had to be done in many districts after the earthquake, in tents, temporary sheds, badly damaged buildings, or whatever make-shift accommodation could be improvised, and to the fact that these conditions inevitably continued in most cases right through the hot weather and rains, if not throughout the year, the Court consider the fact that there was no decrease in contested disposals and none appreciably in total disposals, affords a striking tribute to the officers of the subordinate courts and to their clerical staffs.

By order of the High Court,

H. R. MEREDITH,

Registrar.

institutions and disposals. In the districts affected by the earthquake, namely, Patna, Gaya, Shahabad, Saran, Muzaffarpur, Champaran, Bhagalpur, Monghyr and Purnea, there were a total [illegible] suits and [illegible] appeals during the year [illegible] and [illegible] respectively during the year [illegible] and an annual average of 17,[illegible] and [illegible] during the quinquennial period ending with the year 1933. Comparing, however, the quarterly figures it appears that only 16,[illegible] suits and [illegible] appeals were instituted during the first quarter of 1934 as against [illegible] and [illegible] respectively during the corresponding period of the preceding year and an average of [illegible] and [illegible] respectively for the first quarter of the quinquennial period preceding the year 1934. The decrease in the institutions of suits and appeals in the first quarter of 1934 may with some confidence be attributed to the aftermath of the earthquake. Having regard to the conditions in [illegible] of the districts, the decrease is considered small. In the remaining quarters of the year the institutions were [illegible], excepting the institutions of [illegible] which have been steadily rising for some years. At Monghyr [illegible] suits were instituted during the year 1934 as against [illegible] for the year 1933 and an average of 4,808 per year for the quinquennial period of 1929–1933. It is reported by the District Judge that the fall in the institution of money suits was due to the fact that the [illegible] of creditors were lost under the debris and [illegible] recovered only after the expiry of the period of limitation.

Seventy thousand nine hundred and eighty-three contested and 153,010 uncontested suits were disposed of in the year 1934 as against 70,[illegible] and 151,774 respectively during the preceding year. Having regard to the [illegible] conditions in which work had to be [illegible] in many districts after the earthquake, in tents, [illegible] damaged buildings, or whatever [illegible] and to the fact that these [illegible] at least one eight through the [illegible] through the year, the [illegible] increase in contested [illegible] total disposals, affords a striking tribute [illegible] and to their devoted [illegible] of the subordinate courts.

By order of the High Court,

[illegible] MEREDITH.

Registrar.

IMPERIAL TABLES (CIVIL), BIHAR AND ORISSA, 1934.

CONTENTS.

Table no. I contains the number of Panchayat Courts; the other Tables do not include figures for such courts.

CIVIL JUSTICE.

TABLE No. 1 (GOVERNMENT OF INDIA TABLE NO. 25).

Number of Judicial Divisions, and number of officers exercising appellate or original jurisdiction in the province of Bihar and Orissa on 31st December 1934.

Divisions.	Districts.	Judges of the Chief Court of Province (High Court Judges).	Civil.				Remarks.
			Number of districts.	District Judges.	Other Judges.*		
					Stipendiary.	Honorary.*	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Patna ...	Patna ...	10	1	3(*a*)	13(*b*)	3	(*a*) Do both civil and criminal work. (*b*) 1 does ditto ditto. Includes Munsif Registrar.
	Gaya ...	...	1	1(*a*)	12(*b*)	3	(*a*) Does both civil and criminal work. (*b*) 1 does ditto ditto. Includes Munsif Registrar.
	Shahabad ...	...	1	2(*a*)	11(*b*)	...	(*a*) Do both civil and criminal work. (*b*) 1 does ditto ditto.
Tirhut ...	Saran ...	...	1	1(*a*)	10(*b*)	8	(*a*) Does both civil and criminal work. (*b*) 1 does ditto ditto.
	Muzaffarpur ...	...	1	2(*a*)	12(*b*)	94	(*a*) Do both civil and criminal work. (*b*) 2 do ditto ditto.
	Darbhanga ...	...	1	1(*a*)	9(*b*)	6	(*a*) Does both civil and criminal work. (*b*) 1 does ditto ditto.
Bhagalpur	Bhagalpur ...	...	1	1(*a*)	8(*b*)	1	(*a*) Does ditto ditto. (*b*) 1 does ditto ditto. Includes 1 executive officer Munsif.
	Monghyr ...	...	1	1(*a*)	11(*b*)	...	(*a*) Does both civil and criminal work. (*b*) 1 does ditto ditto. Includes 2 executive officers Munsifs.
	Purnea ...	...	1	1(*a*)	7(*b*)	2	(*a*) Does both civil and criminal work. (*b*) 2 (1 Sub-judge and 1 Munsif) do ditto ditto.
Orissa ...	Cuttack ...	...	1	1(*a*)	12(*b*)	...	(*a*) Does both civil and criminal work. (*b*) 1 does ditto ditto.
Chota Nagpur.	Chota Nagpur...	...	1	1(*a*)	14(*b*)	...	(*a*) Does ditto ditto. (*b*) 1 does ditto ditto. Includes 9 executive officers Munsifs and Subordinate Judges.
Orissa and Chota Nagpur.	Manbhum-Sambalpur.	...	1	1(*a*)	20(*b*)	...	(*a*) Does both civil and criminal work. (*b*) Includes 10 executive officers, Munsifs and Subordinate Judges.
	Total ...	10	12	16(*a*)	139	117(*c*)	(*c*) These 117 Panchayati Courts do both civil and criminal work.
	Santal Parganas	...	1	1(*a*)	6(*b*)	...	(*a*) Does both civil and criminal work. Also District Magistrate. (*b*) Do both civil and criminal work, Also Subdivisional Officers.

*Do not include the members of Panchayati courts. Column 7 gives only the number of such courts.

CIVIL JUSTICE.

TABLE No. 2 (GOVERNMENT OF INDIA TABLE NO. 26).

Number of cases decided in the civil courts in the province of Bihar and Orissa, during the year 1934.

Divisions.	Districts.	Original. Regular.	Original. Miscellaneous.	Appellate. Regular.	Appellate. Miscellaneous.
1	2	3	4	5	6
Patna ...	Patna	16,055	2,560	720	170
	Gaya	10,356	2,756	716	233
	Shahabad	17,637	2,115	617	139
Tirhut ...	Saran	25,471	2,068	608	157
	Muzaffarpur	29,667	3,131	516	232
	Darbhanga	25,163	3,086	454	177
Bhagalpur ...	Bhagalpur	16,509	3,235	397	147
	Monghyr	15,782	2,916	382	238
	Purnea	20,253	3,181	174	157
Orissa ...	Cuttack	14,736	2,043	413	135
Chota Nagpur	Chota Nagpur	4,333	1,146	403	112
Orissa and Chota Nagpur.	Manbhum-Sambalpur	8,865	1,408	287	87
	Total ...	204,827	29,645	5,687	2,014
	Santal Parganas	125	69	6	31

CIVIL

TABLE NO. 3 (GOVERNMENT OF

Number and description of civil suits instituted in the Civil

Class of Tribunals.		Suits for money or movable property.	Suits under Rent Law.				
			Arrears of rent with or without ejectment.	Enhancement or abatement of rent.	For ejectment or recovery of possession alone.	All other suits under the Rent Law.	Total.
1		2	3	4	5	6	7
COURTS IN THE INTERIOR.							
Paid Sub-divisional Tribunals.	Under Ordinary procedure.	15,012	154,180	98	13	2,933	157,224
	Under Small Cause Court powers.	33,421	...	...	...	...	...
District Courts other than Chief Courts of Districts.	Under Ordinary procedure.	372	96	...	1	...	97
	Under Small Cause Court powers.	3,984	...	...	...	...	...
Chief Courts of Districts ...		...	...	...	...	...	...
Total ...		52,789	154,276	98	14	2,933	157,321
Santal Parganas		70	30	...	...	...	30

JUSTICE.

INDIA TABLE NO. 27).

Courts in the province of Bihar and Orissa during the year 1934.

Title and other suits.					
Suits for immovable property.	Suits for specific relief.	Mortgage suits.	Other suits not falling under any of the preceding heads.	Total.	Grand Total.
8	9	10	11	12	13
6,382	167	5,614	1,876	14,039	186,275
...	...	...	...	...	33,421
369	21	419	333	1,142	1,611
...	...	...	...	...	3,984
...	...	...	41	41	41
6,751	188	6,033	2,250	15,222	225,332
17	1	12	4	34	134

CIVIL

TABLE NO. 4 (GOVERNMENT

Number and value of Civil Suits instituted in the Civil Courts

Class of Courts.		NUMBER OF		
		Value not exceeding Rs. 10.	Value Rs. 10 to Rs. 50.	Value Rs. 50 to Rs. 100.
1		2	3	4
COURTS IN THE INTERIOR.				
Paid Subdivisional Tribunals.	Under Ordinary Procedure ...	39,973	74,627	28,633
	Under Small Cause Court powers	798	12,765	11,239
District Courts other than Chief Courts of Districts.	Under Ordinary Procedure ...	...	...	...
	Under Small Cause Court powers.	...	...	...
Chief Courts of Districts		...	...	1
Total ...		40,771	87,392	39,873
Santal Parganas		...	...	...

JUSTICE.

OF INDIA TABLE NO. 28).

in the province of Bihar and Orissa during the year 1934.

SUITS INSTITUTED.

Value Rs. 100 to Rs. 500.	Value Rs. 500 to Rs. 1,000.	Value Rs. 1,000 to Rs. 5,000.	Value above Rs. 5,000.	Number of suits the value of which cannot be estimated in money.	Total number of suits instituted.	Total value of suits.
5	6	7	8	9	10	11
						Rs.
34,071	5,969	2,841	25	136	186,275	2,07,32,381
8,619	...	...	...	...	33,421	26,66,833
12	...	800	781	18	1,611	3,26,63,286
3,984	...	...	...	...	3,984	11,22,291
4	7	8	10	11	41	2,94,622
46,690	5,976	3,649	816	165	225,332	5,74,79,413
...	...	118	16	...	134	4,43,437

CIVIL

TABLE NO. 5 (GOVERNMENT

General results of trial of civil cases in courts of original juris
1934.—*Civil*

Class of Courts.	Number of suits before the Courts.					Number of suits				
						Without trial.			Ex-parte.	
	Pending at the beginning of the year.	Instituted during the year.	Revived during the year.	Otherwise received.	Total.	Under O. IX, R. 3 and O. IX, R. 8 of C. P. C. where the defendant does not admit the claim.	Otherwise.	Average duration.	Number.	Average duration.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
COURTS IN THE INTERIOR.										
Paid Sub-divisional Tribunals. Under Ordinary Procedure.	102,978	186,275	566	17,089	306,908	10,769	16,192	99·1	105,092	122·9
Paid Sub-divisional Tribunals. Under Small Cause Court powers.	6,909	33,421	103	2,905	43,338	3,597	3,347	65·5	14,819	74·4
District Courts other than Chief Courts of Districts. Under Ordinary Procedure.	1,817	1,611	34	780	4,242	90	168	225·6	281	205·4
District Courts other than Chief Courts of Districts. Under Small Cause Court powers.	1,021	3,984	23	44	5,512	203	410	68·9	1,512	80·1
Chief Courts of Districts ...	37	41	...	1	79	2	6	367·7	9	235·4
Total of each class for Civil Courts. Suits for money or movables.	15,898	52,789	243	5,261	74,191	4,849	5,379	71·7	21,594	85·2
Total of each class for Civil Courts. Ditto under the Rent Law.	86,599	157,321	331	12,975	257,226	8,627	13,364	97·3	97,239	122·7
Total of each class for Civil Courts. Title and other suits.	10,265	15,222	152	3,023	28,662	1,185	1,280	142·3	2,830	148·1
Total ...	(a) 112,762	225,332	726	21,259	360,079	14,661	20,023	93	121,663	116·6
Santal Parganas ...	126	134	4	1	265	...	15	252·7	20	182·1

(a) Difference of 1 is due to the fact that 1 title suit disposed

(b) Difference of 1 is due to the fact that in Gaya 1 title suit was

JUSTICE.

OF INDIA TABLE NO. 29).

diction in the province of Bihar and Orissa during the year
Suits.

disposed of— On admission of claim. Number.	On admission of claim. Average duration.	Compromised. Number.	Compromised. Average duration.	After full trial. Judgment for Plaintiff.	After full trial. Judgment for Defendant.	After full trial. Average duration.	On reference to arbitration. Number.	On reference to arbitration. Average duration.	By transfer. Number.	By transfer. Average duration.	Pending at the close of the year.	Pending for more than a year.	Remakrs.
12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
1,541	120·7	11,576	157·7	18,003	2,691	192·3	143	311·4	16,997	137·8	123,887	861	
1,382	65·9	6,625	74·8	2,572	660	115·2	38	177·4	2,938	86·5	7,478	2	
34	201·5	406	258·5	787	:60	318·5	18	255·6	646	273·9	1,702	350	
188	83·9	925	95·5	613	91	125·5	11	122·9	439	71·6	1,120	1	
...	...	3	429	7	6	691·2	...	...	5	118·4	41	16	
2,372	77·4	11,513	96·6	6,484	1,258	169·6	98	258·2	5,215	124·3	15,474	212	
607	152·8	4,104	164·7	11,213	740	159·2	17	294·6	12,881	112·8	108,366	296	
166	141·3	3,918	185·4	4,285	1,610	260·3	95	283·2	2,029	240·3	10,388	722	
3,145	95·3	19,535	128·7	21,982	3,608	185·6	210	272·5	21,025	133·4	(b) 134,228	1,230	
9	152·1	51	234·7	20	7	714·1	3	247·7	...	..	140	82	

of in 1930 was wrongly shown as pending in Patna.

r[e]ceived from Miscellaneous file (360,079—204,827—21,035 = 134,227).

CIVIL

TABLE NO. 6 (GOVERNMENT

General results of trial of civil cases in courts of original
1934.—*Miscella*

Class of Courts.	Number of suits before the courts.					Number of				
						Without trial.			Ex-parte.	
	Pending at the beginning of the year.	Instituted during the year.	Revived during the year.	Otherwise received.	Total.	Under O. IX, R. 3, and O. IX, R. 8 of C.P.C, where the defendant does not admit the claim.	Otherwise.	Average duration.	Number.	Average duration.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
COURTS IN THE INTERIOR.										
Paid Subdivisional Tribunals.	7,376	25,703	88	883	34,050	7,287	3,211	79·5	3,881	94·4
District Courts other than Chief Courts of Districts.	871	2,792	21	88	3,772	604	406	91·6	275	55
Chief Courts of Districts.	668	1,872	3	43	2,586	195	302	118·6	904	93·8
Total ...	* 8,915	30,367	112	1,014	40,408	8,086	3,919	82·2	5,060	92·1
Santal Parganas ...	24	58	...	...	82	...	14	129·4	1	28

*Difference of 1 is due to the fact that in Darbhanga

† Difference of 29 cases (40,408—29,645—947=9,816) is due to the fact that 28 cases were trans

JUSTICE.

OF INDIA TABLE NO. 30).

jurisdiction in the province of Bihar and Orissa in the year
neous cases.

suits disposed of—													
On admission of claim.		Compromised.		After full trial.			On reference to arbitration.		By transfer.		Pending at the close of the year.	Pending for more than a year.	Remarks.
Number.	Average duration.	Number.	Average duration.	Judgment for Plaintiff.	Judgment for Defendant.	Average duration.	Number.	Average duration.	Number.	Average duration.			
12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
404	60·3	3,259	127·3	3,121	3,867	94·7	1	62	821	86·6	8,196	8	
53	62·4	145	131·8	607	734	114·6	...	...	73	72·8	875	14	
4	11·2	28	208·8	229	126	165·8	2	334·5	53	118·9	716	34	
461	60·1	3,432	128·2	3,957	4,727	100·6	3	243·7	947	87·3	† 9,787	56	
5	60·8	2	39·5	27	20	166·8	...	...	...	...	13	2	

1 Probate case was converted into title suit.
ferred to suits having become contentious and 1 case was transferred to Miscellaneous non-Judicial file.

CIVIL

TABLE NO. 7 (GOVERNMENT OF

Civil Appellate Courts.—Appeals from

Class of Courts.	Number of appeals before the Courts.				Number of appeals	
	Pending at the beginning of the year.	Instituted and re-instituted during the year.	Otherwise received.	Total.	Dismissed or not prosecuted.	
					Number.	Average duration.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
COURTS IN THE INTERIOR.						
APPEALS FROM ORIGINAL DECREES.						
Chief Appellate Courts other than Chief Courts of Districts.	464	196	2,952	3,612	172	62·2
Chief Appellate Courts of Districts ...	4,107	5,018	708	9,833	487	148·1
Total of each class for Civil Courts. — Appeals from decisions in suits for money or movables.	645	891	467	2,003	111	125·8
Total of each class for Civil Courts. — In suits under the Rent Law.	1,846	2,029	1,447	5,322	229	108
Total of each class for Civil Courts. — In title and other suits	2,080	2,294	1,746	6,120	319	138·3
Total ...	4,571	5,214	3,660	13,445	659	125 7
Santal Parganas	2	11	...	13	...	...
COURTS AT THE SEAT OF GOVERNMENT.						
APPEALS FROM ORIGINAL DECREES.						
Chief Court of province. — From decisions by Courts in the interior.	595	186	6	787	70	626·6
Chief Court of province. — From decisions on the original side.	2	...	...	2	...	...
Total ...	597	186	6	789	70	626·6
APPEALS FROM APPELLATE DECREES						
Chief Court of province. — From decisions by Appellate Courts in the interior.	2,896	1,189	...	4,085	177	422·5
Chief Court of province. — From decisions of a single Judge or Bench in appeal in the Chief Court.	47	13	...	60	7	292·6
Total ...	2,943	1,202	...	4,145	184	417·5

JUSTICE.

INDIA TABLE NO. 31).

decrees, Bihar and Orissa, 1934.

lisposed of.							Pending at the close of the year.	Pending for more than a year.	Remarks.
					By transfer.				
Confirmed.	Modified.	Reversed.	Remanded for re-trial.	Average duration of appeals in columns 8, 9, 10 and 11.	Number.	Average duration.			
8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
1,667	450	465	73	9·5	214	75·7	571	4	
1,440	438	385	110	265·3	3,341	233·2	3,632	130	
494	108	118	26	160·6	464	194·5	682	32	
1,126	558	338	90	157·8	1,405	231·7	1,576	17	
1,487	222	391	67	166·4	1,686	225·2	1,945	85	
3,107	888	850	183	161·9	3,555	223·7	4,203	134	
6	..	...	...	86·9	...	...	7	..	
72	5	16	...	1,317·3	...	...	624	446	
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	2	
72	5	16		1,317·3	...	...	626	448	
968	151	165	80	784·9	...	..	2,544	1,669	
29	...	5	1	241·5	...	...	18	9	
997	151	170	81	771·3	...	...	2,562	1,678	

CIVIL

TABLE NO. 8 (GOVERNMENT

Civil Appellate Courts—Miscellaneous

Class of Courts.	Number of appeals before the Courts.				Number of	
					Dismissed or not prosecuted.	
	Pending at the beginning of the year.	Institued and re-instituted during the year.	Otherwise received.	Total.	Number.	Average duration.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
COURTS IN THE INTERIOR.						
FIRST APPEALS.						
Chief Appellate Court other than Chief Courts of Districts.	22	42	203	267	23	65·4
Chief Appellate Courts of Districts	727	1,923	155	2,805	576	96·1
Total ...	749	1,965	358	3,072	599	94·9
Santal Parganas	4	36	...	40	1	131
COURTS AT THE SEAT OF GOVERNMENT.						
FIRST APPEALS.						
Chief Court of province. — From decisions by Courts in the interior.	215	247	...	462	57	291·4
Chief Court of province. — From decisions on the original side.	...	2	...	2	...	...
Chief Court of province. — From decisions of Commissioners under section 30 of Workmen's Compensation Act, 1923.	2	2	...	4	1	217
Total ...	217	251	...	468	58	290·2
SECOND APPEALS.						
Chief Court of province. — From decisions by Appellate Courts in the interior.	41	116	...	157	13	122·7
Chief Court of province. — From decisions of a single Judge or Bench in appeal in the Chief Court.	...	...	...	...	...	...
Total ..	41	116	...	157	13	122·7

*Difference of 2 is due to the fact that 2 miscellaneous appeals were transferred to

JUSTICE.

OF INDIA TABLE NO. 32).

Appeals, Bihar and Orissa, 1934.

appeals disposed of									
					By transfer.				
Confirmed.	Modified.	Reversed.	Remanded for re-trial.	Average duration of appeals in columns 8, 9, 10 and 11.	Number.	Average duration.	Pending at the close of the year.	Pending for more than a year.	Remarks.
8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
129	5	60	11	41·1	13	34·7	26	...	
881	23	240	66	127·6	333	133·3	684	2	
1,010	28	300	77	115	346	129·6	*710	2	
23	1	1	5	68	...	...	9	...	
116	5	50	36	276·6	...	...	198	48	
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	...	
...	...	1	...	260	...	...	2	...	
116	5	51	36	276·5	...	...	202	48	
63	2	13	1	180·6	...	...	65	3	
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
63	2	13	1	180·6	.	...	65	3	

title appeals (3,072—2,014—346=712).

PROVINCIAL TABLES (CIVIL), BIHAR AND ORISSA, 1934.

CONTENTS.

TABLE

Statement classifying according to value the number of

Divisions.	Districts.	Number of: Value not exceeding Rs. 10.	Value exceeding Rs. 10 but not exceeding Rs. 50.	Value exceeding Rs. 50 but not exceeding Rs. 100.	Value exceeding Rs. 100 but not exceeding Rs. 500.
1	2	3	4	5	6
Patna ...	Patna	3,629	7,925	3,441	4,176
	Gaya	875	3,343	2,235	4,071
	Shahabad	3,791	7,961	3,555	4,109
Tirhut ...	Saran	6,971	11,604	3,178	3,129
	Muzaffarpur ...	9,143	13,975	4,293	4,564
	Darbhanga ...	8,213	11,481	3,687	3,589
Bhagalpur ...	Bhagalpur... ...	2,285	7,812	4,560	5,314
	Monghyr	2,650	6,749	3,921	4,279
	Purnea	2,189	7,585	4,610	4,538
Orissa ...	Cuttack	585	5,352	3,576	4,199
Chota Nagpur .	Chota Nagpur ...	194	1,117	983	1,618
Orissa and Chota Nagpur.	Manbhum-Sambalpur ..	246	2,488	1,834	3,104
	Total ...	40,771	87,392	39,873	46,690
	Total for 1933 ...	38,877	84,127	38,052	47,004

A.

suits instituted in each district during the year 1934.

suits instituted.

Value exceeding Rs. 500, but not exceeding Rs. 1,000.	Value exceeding Rs. 1,000 but not exceeding Rs. 5,000.	Value exceeding Rs. 5,000.	Number of suits the value of which cannot be estimated in money.	Total number of suits instituted.	Total value of suits.	Remarks.
7	8	9	10	11	12	13
					Rs.	
639	294	103	...	20,207	61,25,169	
698	451	73	16	11,762	43,39,458	
488	316	64	3	20,287	39,27,018	
415	270	68	134	25,769	35,20,862	
620	428	97	4	33,124	48,72,773	
458	301	48	...	27,777	37,32,303	
514	265	61	1	20,812	34,57,769	
455	252	86	...	18,392	1,58,68,508	
543	252	27	...	19,744	27,03,052	
484	297	52	...	14,545	30,95,346	
250	219	71	...	4,452	25,82,432	
412	304	66	7	8,461	32,54,723	
5,976	3,649	816	165	2,25,332	5,74,79,413	
6,049	3,820	803	53	2,18,785	4,90,78,980	

TABLE

Result of Proceedings in Execu

Divisions.	Districts.	Number of applications filed.	Number of applications: Decrees wholly satisfied.	Decrees partly satisfied.
1	2	3	4	5
Patna ...	Patna	11,609	8,174	622
	Gaya	9,177	5,273	956
	Shahabad	11,171	7,845	567
Tirhut ...	Saran	13,319	9,541	767
	Muzaffarpur	15,212	10,406	915
	Darbhanga	13,309	10,649	703
Bhagalpur...	Bhagalpur	14,351	9,187	871
	Monghyr	9,993	6,436	412
	Purnea	14,218	10,077	1,557
Orissa ...	Cuttack	10,762	1,752	2,406
Chota Nagpur	Chota Nagpur	3,911	929	951
Orissa and Chota Nagpur.	Manbhum-Sambalpur ...	6,627	1,415	1,840
	Total ...	1,33,659	81,684	12,567
	Total for 1933 ...	1,41,113	82,357	12,534

B.

tion of Decrees in 1934.

disposed of. Wholly infructuous.	Total.	Number of applications pending.	Pending over one year.	Amount realized.	Proportion of infructuous proceedings.
6	7	8	9	10	11
				Rs. a. p.	
2,218	11,014	5,630	239	15,17,860 0 0	20
1,892	8,121	4,421	81	18,62,001 0 0	23·2
2,154	10,566	4,447	129	12,14,918 0 0	20
2,680	12,988	3,788	40	9,60,133 0 0	20·6
3,882	15,203	7,342	172	16,43,913 0 0	25·5
2,265	13,617	6,011	143	13,06,808 0 0	16·6
3,292	13,350	6,574	278	15,10,352 0 0	24·6
3,136	9,984	4,171	101	10,23,092 0 0	31·4
3,265	14,899	6,203	85	19,60,479 0 0	21·9
6,577	10,735	3,280	76	7,31,397 0 0	61·2
1,944	3,824	1,975	127	5,04,909 0 0	50·8
3,230	6,485	2,757	180	11,75,290 0 0	49·8
36,535	1,30,786	56,599	1,651	1,54,11,152 0 0	27 9
36,600	1,31,491	56,455	1,221	1,70,09,798 0 0	27·8

TABLE

Statement showing the work done by

Judgeships.		Original suits decided.	Applications for execution of decrees decided.	Miscellaneous Judicial cases disposed of.	APPEALS. — Regular Appeals. — For disposal. — Pending from previous year.	Preferred during the year.	Re-admitted.	Received by transfer.	Total for disposal.
1		2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Patna—									
District Judge ...	...	1	12	185	659	741	2	15	1,417
1st Additional District Judge	...	...	1	7	87	...	1	78	166
2nd Additional District Judge	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	2	2
Total ...	...	1	13	192	746	741	3	95	1,585
Gaya—									
District Judge... ...	...	3	7	155	621	536	3	16	1,176
Additional District Judge	...	...	...	4	30	...	...	104	134
Total	...	3	7	159	651	536	3	120	1,310
Shahabad—									
District Judge	...	3	5	171	361	640	6	14	1,021
Additional District Judge	...	...	...	10	...	...	...	102	102
Total ...	...	3	5	181	361	640	6	116	1,123
Saran—									
District Judge... ...	...	7	8	168	427	651	11	13	1,102
Additional District Judge	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	26	26
Total ...	...	7	8	168	427	651	11	39	1,128
Muzaffarpur—									
District Judge	...	1	5	235	334	331	7	59	731
Additional District Judge	...	...	...	5	20	...	1	111	132
Total ...	...	1	5	240	354	331	8	170	863

C (New).

District Judges during the year 1934.

APPEALS. Transferred to other courts.	APPEALS. Regular Appeals. Disposed of. On contest.	APPEALS. Regular Appeals. Disposed of. Without contest.	APPEALS. Regular Appeals. Disposed of. Total. From the decisions of Subordinate Judges.	APPEALS. Regular Appeals. Disposed of. Total. From the decisions of Munsifs.	APPEALS. Regular Appeals. Pending. Total.	APPEALS. Regular Appeals. Pending. Over one year.	APPEALS. Miscellaneous Appeals. Preferred.	APPEALS. Miscellaneous Appeals. Disposed of.	APPEALS. Miscellaneous Appeals. Pending.	Sessions cases.	Criminal appeals.	Applications for revision (criminal).	Number of days devoted to civil work.	Number of days devoted to criminal work.
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
522	113	38	20	131	744	23	184	113	103	18	249	99	33	209
15	139	2	13	128	10	10	...	57	2	25	58	21	21	206
...	2	...	...	2	...	...	...	...	...	8	...	...	1	21
537	254	40	33	261	754	33	184	170	105	51	307	120	55	436
623	120	38	11	147	395	36	240	209	77	14	157	63	98½	130½
27	99	8	23	84	...	...	...	6	...	10	36	5	40	69
650	219	46	34	231	395	36	240	215	77	24	193	68	138½	199½
389	226	44	6	264	362	1	141	117	47	18	173	64	56	199
19	52	4	1	55	27	3	...	16	2	12	83	30	41	56
408	278	48	7	319	389	4	141	133	49	30	256	94	97	255
342	176	102	2	276	482	8	148	145	41	44	188	60	57½	175¼
9	12	5	...	17	...	...	...	6	...	3	6	...	7½	35½
351	188	107	2	293	482	8	148	151	41	47	194	60	65	210¾
354	86	51	32	105	240	20	177	154	22	16	267	85	91	137
53	47	3	10	40	29	15	...	14	5	24	69	8	20	126
407	133	54	42	145	269	35	177	168	27	40	336	93	111	263

TABLE

Statement showing the work done by

Judgeships.	Original suits decided.	Applications for execution of decrees decided.	Miscellaneous Judicial cases disposed of.	APPEALS. Regular Appeals. For disposal. Pending from previous year.	Preferred during the year.	Re-admitted.	Received by transfer.	Total for disposal.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Darbhanga—								
District Judge	7	2	82	439	315	1	...	755
Additional District Judge ...	...	...	5	5	...	...	68	73
Total	7	2	87	444	315	1	68	828
Bhagalpur	...	4	92	243	248	3	6	500
Monghyr	3	3	149	296	463	11	22	792
Purnea	...	2	127	92	202	2	...	296
Cuttack	3	6	124	172	469	7	10	658
Chota Nagpur— Judicial Commissioner	1	1	73	140	185	2	6	333
	rent	...	...	45	59	...	...	104
Additional Judicial Commissioner ...	...	...	...	...	..	...	4	4
Total	1	1	73	185	244	2	10	441
Manbhum-Sambalpur	4	2	198	136	165	5	3	309
Grand Total	33	58	1,720	4,107	5,005	62	659	9,833
Grand Total for 1938 ...	61	69	2,040	5,042	5,449	114	1,122	11,727

*Average duration of suits from the date of institution

*Average duration of appeals from the date of institution

C (New)—*concld.*

District Judges during the year 1934—concld.

Appeals.														
Regular Appeals.							Miscellaneous Appeals.							
	Disposed of.				Pending									
			Total.											
Transferred to other courts.	On contest.	Without contest.	From the decisions of Subordinate Judges.	From the decisions of Munsifs.	Total.	Over one year.	Preferred.	Disposed of.	Pending.	Sessions cases.	Criminal appeals.	Applications for revision (criminal).	Number of days devoted to civil work.	Number of days devoted to criminal work.
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
146	233	44	26	251	332	54	170	151	78	2	181	101	163	71
...	71	2	16	57	...	...	...	1	...	8	7	4	44	65
146	304	46	42	308	332	54	170	152	78	10	188	105	207	136
187	210	26	21	215	97	...	155	101	36	46	135	85	70	164
359	130	68	5	183	345	12	181	200	65	35	149	90	90	140
62	82	33	15	100	119	1	193	187	89	52	160	73	48	207
255	130	37	9	158	236	...	174	132	83	23	303	113	111	130
50	191	31	31	191	61	...	84	111	19	36	320	67	119	137
...	75	14	...	89	15	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
...	3	1	...	4	...	...	...	...	...	2	...	...	2	58
50	269	46	31	284	76	...	84	111	19	38	320	67	121	195
49	90	32	33	89	138	4	66	66	15	49	367	24	69	163
3,341	2,277	583	274	2,586	3,632	*187	1,913	1,786	684	434	3,908	992	1,182½	2,499½
4,025	2,624	973	361	3,236	4,107	399	1,821	1,493	727	441	3,332	1,011	1,281	2,561

Average number of days.

under the ordinary procedure { contested ... 663·1

[illegible] ... 317·4

TABLE

Statement showing the work done by

Judgeships.	Courts.	Powers.	Original Suits.									
			For disposal.				Disposed of.				Pending.	
			Pending from previous year.	Instituted.	Re-admitted and received by transfer.	Total.	Without trial.	*Ex-parte.*	On contest.	Total.	Total.	Over one year.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
Patna.	Subordinate Judge, 1st Court.	O.	104	87	3	194	31	14	31	76	75	16
		S.C.C.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Subordinate Judge, 2nd Court.	O.	73	56	9	88	23	5	42	60	62	19
		S.C.C.	75	277	...	352	98	96	58	252	100	...
	Subordinate Judge, 3rd Court.	O.	118	85	49	252	28	14	73	115	103	38
		S.C.C.	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Additional Subordinate Judge.	O.	...	...	51	51	5	1	29	35	16	14
	Total ...	...	370	505	112	937	184	130	233	547	356	87
Gaya.	Subordinate Judge, 1st Court.	O.	90	79	1	170	28	16	41	85	60	10
	Subordinate Judge, 2nd Court.	O.	56	56	11	123	24	1	27	52	45	16
		S.C.C.	41	237	5	283	82	88	46	216	61	..
	Subordinate Judge, 3rd Court.	O.	68	63	1	132	13	3	35	51	67	15
		S.C.C.	112	397	14	523	127	133	100	360	141	...
	Subordinate Judge, 1st Additional Court.	O.	...	...	33	33	2	2	13	17	14	14
	Subordinate Judge, 2nd Additional Court.	O.	...	...	12	12	1	1	6	8	...	...
	Total ...	...	367	832	77	1,276	277	244	268	789	388	55
Shahabad	Subordinate Judge, 1st Court.	O.	47	41	9	97	25	1	33	59	37	6
		S.C.C.	..	15	43	58	4	4	8	16	43	..
	Subordinate Judge, 2nd Court.	O.	47	55	2	104	16	2	32	50	50	4
		S.C.C.	59	202	2	263	67	78	48	193	27	...
	Subordinate Judge, Additional Court.	O.	3	...	5	8	1	...	3	4	...	...
	Total ...	...	156	313	61	530	113	85	124	322	156	12

D (New).

Subordinate Judges during the year 1934.

Miscellaneous cases disposed of.			Regular appeals.						Miscellaneous appeals.					
				Disposed of.			Pending.							
Execution cases.	Miscellaneous Judicial cases.	Non-Judicial cases.	Number of appeals before the Court.	On contest.	Without contest.	Total.	Total.	Over one year.	Preferred.	Disposed of.	Pending.	Sessions cases.	Number of days devoted to civil work.	Number of days devoted to criminal work.
14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28
138	100	...	85	59	15	74	2	2	...	...	...	}	68	161
79	9	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	} 35	...	...
63	61	...	174	119	17	136	34	34	...	...	...	}	232	5
49	7	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	}	...	...
127	128	...	190	114	13	127	31	31	...	...	...	...	234	...
37	3	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
18	9	...	109	87	2	89	20	20	...	...	...	...	231	...
511	317	...	558	379	47	426	87	87	...	...	...	35	765	166
164	93	...	177	115	6	121	55	32	...	4	2	...	233	...
199	104	...	153	105	18	123	28	14	...	3	..	...	222	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
178	78	...	103	75	3	78	17	...	...	1	...	...	237	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
7	7	...	163	103	7	110	41	12	...	8	...	9	192	33
...	...	...	34	18	1	19	...	...	...	2	...	...	25	...
548	282	...	630	416	35	451	141	58	...	18	2	9	909	33
76	84	...	75	59	...	59	16	...	...	...	...	...	235	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
248	147	...	56	43	4	47	8	5	...	1	...	...	228	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..
38	20	...	194	179	6	185	4	...	...	5	...	33	109	103
362	251	...	325	281	10	201	28	5	...	6	...	33	572	103

TABLE

Statement showing the work done by

Judgeships.	Courts.	Powers.	Original Suits.									
			For disposal.				Disposed of.				Pending.	
			Pending from previous year.	Instituted.	Re-admitted and received by transfer.	Total.	Without trial.	*Ex-parte.*	On contest.	Total.	Total.	Over one year.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
Saran.	Subordinate Judge, 1st Court.	O.	47	74	33	154	29	3	49	81	69	6
		S.C.C.	11	56	155	222	85	67	52	204	18	...
	Subordinate Judge, 2nd Court.	O.	57	41	5	103	19	2	12	33	54	18
		S.C.C.	207	739	2	948	266	293	44	603	193	...
	Total ...	...	322	910	195	1,427	399	365	157	921	334	24
Muzaffarpur.	Subordinate Judge, 1st Court, Muzaffarpur.	O.	129	66	7	202	31	13	36	80	103	46
		S.C.C.	34	212	163	409	85	92	33	210	56	...
	Subordinate Judge, 2nd Court, Muzaffarpur.	O.	93	55	116	264	32	9	19	60	68	24
		S.C.C.	14	131	96	241	85	56	6	147	36	...
	Subordinate Judge, Motihari.	O.	37	59	48	144	24	5	45	74	55	2
		S.C.C.	28	72	3	103	38	24	22	84	19	...
	Total ...	...	335	595	433	1,363	295	199	161	655	337	72
Darbhanga.	Subordinate Judge, Darbhanga.	O.	139	91	3	233	36	7	46	89	99	30
		S.C.C.	49	165	2	216	60	84	27	171	40	...
	Subordinate Judge, Additional Court.	O.	9	...	46	55	14	...	27	41	14	14
		S.C.C.	...	...	5	5	...	...	...	...	5	...
	Total ...	...	197	256	56	509	110	91	100	301	158	44

D (New)—*contd.*

Subordinate Judges during the year 1934—contd.

Miscellaneous cases disposed of.			Regular appeals.						Miscellaneous appeals.					
				Disposed of.			Pending.							
Execution cases.	Miscellaneous Judicial cases.	Non-Judicial cases.	Number of appeals before the court.	On contest.	Without contest.	Total.	Total.	Over one year.	Preferred.	Disposed of.	Pending.	Sessions cases.	Number of days devoted to civil work.	Number of days devoted to criminal work.
14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28
75	58	...	190	162	20	182	5	...	...	2	...	...	237	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
236	107	...	134	119	12	131	1	...	...	4	...	44	107	131
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...
311	165	...	324	281	32	313	6	...	...	6	...	44	344	131
261	144	...	149	102	8	110	33	12	...	24	7	29	196	15
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...		...	...
111	87	...	155	114	20	134	18	7	...	25	1		117	78
..	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...		...	...
125	73	...	102	81	4	85	17	...	16	15	1	45	109	109
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
497	304	...	406	297	32	329	68	19	16	64	9	74	422	202
269	134	.	27	23	1	24	2	1	...	...	...	..	229	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
43	46	...	84	78	2	80	4	2	...	25	...	8	169	62
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...	...
312	180	...	111	101	3	104	6	3	...	25	...	8	398	63

TABLE

Statement showing the work done by

Judgeships.	Courts.	Powers.	Original Suits. For disposal. Pending from previous year.	Instituted.	Re-admitted and received by transfer.	Total.	Disposed of. Without trial.	*Ex-parte.*	On contest.	Total.	Pending. Total.	Over one year.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
Bhagalpur.	Subordinate Judge	O.	97	97	5	199	34	7	32	73	74	13
		S.C.C.	63	245	...	308	82	85	62	229	79	...
	Subordinate Judge, Additional Court.	O.	29	...	60	89	20	8	40	68	17	14
		S.C.C.	9	...	...	9	6	1	2	9	...	...
	Total ...	...	198	342	65	605	142	101	136	379	170	27
Monghyr.	Subordinate Judge, 1st Court.	O.	60	43	1	104	21	5	23	49	55	21
		S.C.C.	15	122	...	137	50	51	20	121	15	1
	Subordinate Judge, 2nd Court.	O.	44	42	2	88	10	5	26	41	47	9
		S.C.C.	33	80	1	114	44	20	20	84	30	...
	Total ...	...	152	287	4	443	125	81	89	295	147	31
Purnea.	Subordinate Judge...	O.	54	100	12	166	25	24	28	77	85	11
		S.C.C.	27	95	1	123	45	30	22	97	26	...
	Additional Subordinate Judge.	O.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Total ...	...	81	195	13	289	70	54	50	174	111	11
Cuttack.	Subordinate Judge	O.	79	87	12	178	34	7	21	62	73	6
		S.C.C.	58	210	...	368	93	60	48	201	58	...
	Additional Subordinate Judge.	O.	8	...	43	51	6	1	20	27	13	9
		S.C.C.	...	...	9	9	1	...	8	9	...	...
	Total .	...	145	297	64	506	134	68	97	299	144	15

D (New)—*contd.*

Subordinate Judges during the year 1934—contd.

Miscellaneous cases disposed of.			Regular appeals.						Miscellaneous appeals.					
				Disposed of.			Pending.							
Execution cases.	Miscellaneous Judicial cases.	Non-Judicial cases.	Number of appeals before the court.	On contest.	Without contest.	Total.	Total.	Over one year.	Preferred.	Disposed of.	Pending.	Sessions cases.	Number of days devoted to civil work.	Number of days devoted to criminal work.
14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28
294	145	3	59	43	12	55	1	...	...	16	...	35	76	161
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
66	62	...	114	98	8	106	...	...	...	30	...	...	211	...
...	...	...	...		...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
360	207	3	173	141	20	161	1	...	...	46	...	35	287	161
176	105	..	139	86	6	92	40	1	...	28	4		173	67
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	27	...	...
136	99	...	148	93	9	102	28	8	...	10	...		190	41
...	...	...	..	...	..	...	...	...	...	...	...		...	...
312	204	...	287	179	15	194	68	9	...	38	4	27	363	108
234	89	...	54	48	5	53	1	1	...	...	...		224	12
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...		12	...	...
...	...	...	9	5	1	6	3	...	...	...	...		2½	31½
234	89	...	63	53	6	59	4	1	...	...	.	12	226½	43½
380	138	...	107	61	7	68	23	...	...	3	...	13	203	35
...	24	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
8	7	...	219	165	13	178	22	...	...	...	...	...	175	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
388	169	...	326	226	20	246	45	...	...	3	...	13	378	35

TABLE

Statement showing the work done by

Judgeships.	Courts.	Powers.	Original suits. For disposal. Pending from previous year.	Instituted.	Re-admitted and received by transfer.	Total.	Disposed of. Without trial.	*Ex-parte.*	On contest.	Total.	Pending. Total.	Over one year.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
Chota Nagpur.	Special Subordinate Judge Ranchi.	O.	53	59	2	114	31	10	28	69	44	6
	Special Subordinate Judge, Hazaribagh.	O.	...	...	7	7	3	...	1	4	...	...
	Special Subordinate Judge, Palamau.	O.	...	...	71	71	4	18	2	24	...	...
	Additional Subordinate Judge, Hazaribagh.	O.	40	33	4	77	16	3	20	39	32	6
	Deputy Magistrate Subordinate Judge, Palamau.	O.	23	24	98	145	7	...	5	12	62	46
	Deputy Magistrate Subordinate Judge, Hazaribagh.	O.	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	...
	Deputy Commissioner Subordinate Judge, Palamau.	O.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Deputy Commissioner Subordinate Judge, Ranchi.	O.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...
	Deputy Commissioner Subordinate Judge, Hazaribagh.	O.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Total	...	116	116	182	414	61	31	56	148	138	58
Manbhum-Sambalpur.	Subordinate Judge, Purulia.	O.	60	66	47	173	46	13	42	101	72	21
		S.C.C.	37	150	2	189	93	44	25	162	27	..
	Subordinate Judge, Dhanbad.	O.	80	72	1	153	31	18	31	80	73	16
		S.C.C.	149	579	4	732	315	206	64	585	147	..
	Subordinate Judge, Sambalpur.	O.	69	69	...	138	23	12	45	80	58	2
	Special Subordinate Judge, Singhbhum.	O.		...	5	5	3	...	2	5	...	...
	Deputy Collector, Subordinate Judge, Singhbhum.	O.	4	11	...	15	3	1	...	4	6	...
	Deputy Commissioner Subordinate Judge, Singhbhum.	O.	.....	...		..	...	...	...	..	...	...
	Deputy Commissioner Subordinate Judge, Purulia.	O.	...	...	...	...	...		...	...	...	...
	Additional Deputy Commissioner, Subordinate Judge, Dhanbad.	O.	...	...	...	...	..	...	...		...	...
	Total ...	...	399	947	59	1,405	514	294	209	1,017	383	39
	Grand Total ...	...	2,838	5,595	1,321	9,754	2,424	1,743	1,680	5,847	2,822	*475
	Grand Total for 1933	...	3,050	6,286	1,773	11,109	2,894	2,169	1,802	6,865	2,839	461

*Average duration of suits from the da of institution

† *Average duration of appeals from the date of institution

*The methods of calculation being different these figures do not agree with the figures of year old

D (New)—*concld.*

Subordinate Judges during the year 1934—concld.

Miscellaneous cases disposed of.			Regular appeals.						Miscellaneous appeals.					
				Disposed of.			Pending.							
Execution cases.	Miscellaneous Judicial cases.	Non-Judicial cases.	Number of appeals before the court.	On contest.	Without contest.	Total.	Total.	Over one year.	Preferred.	Disposed of.	Pending.	Sessions cases.	Number of days devoted to civil work.	Number of days devoted to criminal work.
14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28
89	45	...	32	29	3	32	...	...	...	1	...	...	...	...
4	13	...	3	3	..	3	...	...	...	...	...	} {21	175	54
...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
83	123	...	36	22	...	22	...	...	...	...	...	...	150	...
103	34	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	48	...
27	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	..	28	...
...	2	..	5	5	...	5	...	...	...	...	...	...	18	...
...	...	...	14	14	...	14	...	...	...	...	...	...	7	...
...	...	...	12	10	2	12	...	...	...	...	...	...	20	...
306	218	...	102	83	5	88	...	...	..	1	...	21	446	54
501	134	...	13	12	1	13	...	...	...	...	...	...	236	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
605	153	...	...	...	...	...	.	...	...	...	...	...	239	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
105	82	...	146	83	15	98	48	...	17	21	5	...	225	...
4	...	...	1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	9	...
14	2	...	57	...	...	...	36	...	...	...	...	...	41	...
...	67	...	27	13	1	14	13	...	9	...	6	...	53	...
...	...	...	49	27	4	31	15	1	...	...	...	...	32	...
...	...	..	14	7	2	9	5	...	...	...	...	...	11	...
1,329	438	...	307	142	23	165	117	1	26	21	11	...	846	...
5,370	2,824	3	3,612	2,579	248	2,827	571	*183	42	228	26	311	5,956½	1,098½
6,520	3,330	9	4,291	3,154	380	3,534	464	130	43	332	22	355	5,368½	1,396

			Average number of days.
under the ordinary procedure	Contested	...	437·9
	Un-contested	...	285·5
under the small cause court procedure	Cont ested	...	142·3
	Un-contested	...	89·8
—	Contested	...	303·8
	Un-contested	...	314·1

suits, appeals and of average duration given in the Imperial Tables nos. 29 and 31.

TABLE

Statement showing the work done by

Judgeships.	Courts.	Powers.	Suits — For disposal. — Pending from previous year.	Suits — For disposal. — Instituted.	Suits — For disposal. — Received by transfer and re-admitted.	Suits — For disposal. — Total.	Suits — *Ex-parte.*
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Patna.	Patna, 1st Court	O.	1,496	2,967	11	4,474	1,245
	Do. 2nd "	O.	2,283	3,430	45	5,758	1,776
		S. C. C.	228	958	3	1,189	491
	Do. 3rd "	O.	2,041	2,717	60	4,818	1,866
		S. C. C.... ...	...	132	...	132	6
	Do. 1st Additional Court ...	O.	41	...	440	481	42
	Do. 2nd " " ...	O.	...	...	240	240	8
	Barh Court	O.	2,133	4,855	5	6,993	2,355
		S. C. C.	59	244	...	303	91
	Do. Additional Court ...	O.	...	...	2	2	...
	Bihar Court	O.	2,107	4,177	36	6,320	935
		S. C. C.	27	220	...	247	82
	Do. 1st Additional Court ...	O.	119	...	764	883	80
	Total ...	O.	10,220	18,146	1,603	29,969	8,316
		S. C. C.	314	1,554	3	1,871	670
Gaya.	Gaya, 1st Court	O.	218	719	246	1,183	211
	Do. 2nd "	O.	752	1,404	72	2,228	519
		S. C. C.	65	408	...	473	209
	Do. 3rd "	O.	1,404	2,564	103	4,071	1,224
	Do. Additional Court ...	O.	59	...	357	416	60
		S. C. C.	...	...	28	28	3
	Aurangabad Court	O.	1,519	2,609	133	4,261	1,240
		S. C. C.	77	355	...	432	132
	Do. Additional Court ...	O.	24	...	260	284	13
	Jahanabad Court	O.	1,727	2,581	19	4,327	1,344
		S. C. C.	42	286	...	328	115
	Do. Additional Court ...	O.	47	...	391	438	59
	Total ...	O.	5,750	9,877	1,581	17,208	4,670
		S. C. C.	184	1,049	28	1,261	459

E (new).

Munsifs during the year 1934.

Suits. — Disposed of — Without trial.	With contest. — Money.	With contest. — Rent.	With contest. — Title.	With contest. — Total.	Total decided. — Money.	Total decided. — Rent.	Total decided. — Title.	Total decided. — Total.	Pending. — Total.	Pending. — Over one year.	Miscellaneous work disposed of. — Execution cases.	Miscellaneous work disposed of. — Miscellaneous Judicial cases.	Miscellaneous work disposed of. — Non-Judicial cases.
9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
578	57	205	49	311	233	1,742	159	2,134	2,052	15	1.513	330	...
717	24	222	72	318	173	2,484	154	2,811	3,616	16	1,780	283	1
379	97	...	...	97	967	...	...	967	222	...	272	25	...
520	58	201	93	352	87	2,492	159	2,738	2,018	19	1,580	255	...
14	3	...	...	3	23	...	...	23	109	...	...	..	...
66	26	199	56	281	41	269	79	389	77	27	125	37	...
23	12	53	20	85	20	65	30	115	75	23	...	2	...
468	48	229	37	314	140	2,911	86	3,137	3,808	26	2,481	327	...
97	33	...	...	33	221	...	...	221	82	...	20	4	...
...	...	1	...	1	...	1	...	1	...	...	...	...	...
529	37	487	43	567	214	1,705	112	2,031	3,546	27	2,001	527	...
74	34	...	...	34	190	...	...	190	57	...	58	4	...
91	25	524	21	570	34	688	28	750	102	80	660	257	...
2,991	287	2,121	391	2,799	942	12,357	807	14,106	14,294	253	10,140	2,018	1
564	167	...	...	167	1,401	...	...	1,401	470	...	350	33	...
183	30	207	47	284	127	451	100	678	408	3	798	228	6
252	37	80	44	161	193	619	120	932	1,105	1	1,166	312	10
132	58	...	...	58	399	...	...	399	76	...	...	...	...
378	13	277	67	357	89	1,753	117	1,959	1,815	4	1,476	413	8
36	4	152	39	195	12	225	54	291	2	1	2	23	...
3	6	...	...	6	12	...	...	12	...	...	...	...	...
365	49	435	44	528	179	1,838	116	2,133	1,869	3	1,845	630	25
159	60	...	...	60	351	...	...	351	83	...	...	...	...
33	10	15	35	60	16	41	49	106	53	7	121	35	...
431	32	163	42	247	99	1,832	91	2,022	1,914	18	2,098	627	32
112	31	...	...	31	258	...	...	258	71	...	...	...	...
122	29	169	44	243	61	298	64	423	...	...	60	47	...
1,800	194	1,518	362	2,074	776	7,057	711	8,544	7,166	37	7,566	2,315	81
406	155	...	...	155	1,020	...	...	1,020	230	...	...	...	...

TABLE

Statement showing the work done by

Judgeships.	Courts.	Powers.	Suits. For disposal. Pending from previous year.	Instituted.	Received by transfer and re-admitted.	Total.	*Ex-parte.*
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Shahabad.	Arrah, 1st Court	O.	874	2,744	100	3,718	1,145
		S. C. C.	186	1,215	5	1,406	530
	Arrah, 2nd Court	O.	1,117	2,861	113	4,091	1,539
	Arrah, 3rd Court	O.	1,103	2,731	149	3,983	1,047
		S. C. C.	...	64	180	244	31
	Arrah, Additional Court ...	O.	...	...	361	361	48
	Buxar, 1st Court	O.	812	1,428	6	2,246	30
	Buxar, 2nd Court	O.	509	1,066	78	1,653	586
		S. C. C.	98	526	1	625	166
	Sasaram, 1st Court	O.	1,944	3,336	15	5,295	803
	Sasaram, 2nd Court	O.	1,654	3,157	16	4,827	1,686
		S. C. C.	124	841	3	968	373
	Sasaram, Additional Court ...	O.	...	...	269	269	11
	Total ...	O.	8,013	17,323	1,107	26,443	8,495
		S. C. C.	408	2,646	189	3,243.	1,100

E (new)—*contd.*

Munsifs during the year 1934—contd.

Suits.											Miscellaneous work disposed of.		
Disposed of.									Pending.				
	With contest.				Total decided.								
Without trial.	Money.	Rent.	Title.	Total.	Money.	Rent.	Title.	Total.	Total.	Over one year.	Execution cases.	Miscellaneous Judicial cases.	Non-judicial cases.
9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
531	39	200	74	313	78	1,768	143	1,989	1,570	4	1,858	294	5
435	128	...	...	128	1,093	...	...	1,093	132	...	...	...	...
787	23	166	48	237	80	2,348	135	2,563	1,403	16	1,399	228	7
751	10	163	60	233	40	1,853	138	2,031	1,771	30	1,256	213	6
18	3	...	...	3	52	...	...	52	192	...	...	...	...
29	5	74	10	89	11	136	19	166	...	...	2	...	...
382	28	122	117	267	103	979	197	1,279	893	23	926	160	...
237	41	150	66	257	105	848	127	1,080	570	5	1,067	181	1
270	75	...	...	75	511	...	...	511	114	...	...	...	...
738	38	209	49	296	252	2,445	140	2,837	2,262	7	1,748	267	11
712	29	176	64	269	142	2,384	141	2,667	2,078	18	1,928	326	26
344	88	...	...	88	805	...	...	805	163	...	...	...	...
52	35	101	40	176	52	134	53	239	25	10	15	14	.
4,219	248	1,361	528	2,137	863	12,895	1,093	14,851	10,572	113	10,199	1,683	56
1,067	294	...	...	294	2,461	...	...	2,461	601	...	...	...	...

TABLE

Statement showing the work done by

Judgeships.	Courts.	Powers.	Suits. For disposal. Pending from previous year.	Instituted.	Received by transfer and re-admitted.	Total.	*Ex-parte.*
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Saran.	Chapra, 1st Court ...	O.	1,528	3,150	190	4,868	1,323
Saran.	Chapra, 1st Court ...	S. C. C.	...	21	92	113	19
Saran.	Chapra, 2nd Court ...	O.	1,637	4,016	934	6,587	1,677
Saran.	Chapra, 2nd Court ...	S. C. C.	143	82	...	225	74
Saran.	Chapra, 3rd Court ...	O.	1,357	3,187	158	4,7[illegible]2	1,086
Saran.	Chapra, 4th Court ...	O.	1,643	3,554	57	5,254	1,095
Saran.	Chapra, 4th Court ...	S. C. C.	...	667	111	778	277
Saran.	Chapra, 1st Additional Court ...	O.	1,425	...	1,550	2,975	1,136
Saran.	Chapra, 2nd Additional Court ...	O.	1,416	...	1,679	3,095	935
Saran.	Siwan Court	O.	2,972	6,281	17	9,270	1,557
Saran.	Siwan Court	S. C. C.	167	574	2	743	210
Saran.	Siwan, Additional Court ...	O.	1,994	...	1,562	3,556	1,570
Saran.	Gopalganj Court ...	O.	1,132	2,684	2	3,818	1,790
Saran.	Gopalganj Court ...	S. C. C.	108	635	1	744	236
Saran.	Total ...	O.	15,104	22,872	6,149	44,125	12,169
Saran.	Total ...	S. C. C.	418	1,979	306	2,603	816
Muzaffarpur.	Muzaffarpur, 1st Court ..	O.	2,431	3,764	82	6,277	1,447
Muzaffarpur.	Muzaffarpur, 1st Court ..	S. C. C.	365	546	395	1,306	254
Muzaffarpur.	Muzaffarpur, 2nd Court ...	O.	3,504	6,097	133	9,734	2,539
Muzaffarpur.	Muzaffarpur, 2nd Court ...	S. C. C.	102	608	506	1,216	313
Muzaffarpur.	Muzaffarpur, Additional Court...	O.	1,250	...	1,804	3,054	987
Muzaffarpur.	Hajipur Court	O.	2,602	4,021	302	6,925	2,708
Muzaffarpur.	Hajipur Court	S. C. C.	117	452	3	572	217
Muzaffarpur.	Hajipur, Additional Court ...	O.	421	...	500	921	550
Muzaffarpur.	Sitamarhi, 1st Court ...	O.	3,021	4,822	155	7,998	2,704
Muzaffarpur.	Sitamarhi, 1st Court ...	S. C. C.	42	618	3	663	194
Muzaffarpur.	Sitamarhi, 2nd Court ...	O.	2,306	4,395	157	6,858	2,345
Muzaffarpur.	Motihari Court	O.	2,091	3,647	21	5,759	1,861
Muzaffarpur.	Motihari Court	S. C. C.	220	813	...	1,033	465
Muzaffarpur.	Bettiah Court	O.	1,046	2,354	5	3,405	1,617
Muzaffarpur.	Bettiah Court	S. C. C.	75	387	1	463	248
Muzaffarpur.	Total ...	O.	18,672	29,100	3,159	50,931	16,748
Muzaffarpur.	Total ...	S. C. C.	921	3,424	908	5,253	1,691

E (new)—*contd.*

Munsifs during the year 1934—contd.

Suits.											Miscellaneous work disposed of.		
Disposed of.									Pending.				
	With contest.				Total decided.								
Without trial.	Money.	Rent.	Title.	Total.	Money.	Rent.	Title.	Total.	Total.	Over one year.	Execution cases.	Miscellaneous Judicial cases.	Non-Judicial cases.
9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
940	19	213	110	342	70	2,295	240	2,605	1,832	4	1,332	153	15
16	2	...	...	2	37	...	...	37	76	...	...	...	...
810	16	129	82	227	58	2,444	212	2,714	2,427	1	1,500	136	30
38	7	...	...	7	119	...	...	119	...	..	...	...	...
765	27	202	108	337	47	1,915	226	2,188	2,138	9	1,333	166	16
1,041	15	102	86	203	58	2,063	218	2,339	2,146	2	1,513	265	28
255	44	...	...	44	576	...	..	576	110	...	...	...	...
371	15	218	67	300	22	1,697	88	1,807	1,109	12	202	33	...
464	19	126	66	211	27	1,488	95	1,610	...	...	820	89	...
1,908	28	117	83	228	113	3,319	261	3,693	4,024	3	2,100	286	8
369	67	...	...	67	646	...	...	646	97	...	...	...	...
847	21	270	103	394	29	2,636	146	2,611	736	4	1,221	96	...
756	50	88	77	215	259	2,270	232	2,761	1,057	2	2,649	511	36
356	45	...	...	45	637	...	...	637	107	...	...	...	...
7,902	210	1,465	782	2,457	683	20,127	1,718	22,538	15,469	37	12,669	1,735	133
1,034	165	...	...	165	2,015	...	...	2,015	390	...	...	...	...
795	25	363	69	457	69	2,424	206	2,699	2,918	40	1,632	280	15
160	89	...	...	89	503	...	...	503	248	...	...	...	...
1,304	26	260	88	374	70	3,903	234	4,207	4,220	11	2,507	498	9
259	56	...	...	56	628	...	...	628	194	...	...	...	...
323	17	172	29	218	36	1,433	59	1,528	1,509	28	510	81	...
987	20	104	89	213	126	3,512	270	3,908	2,515	22	2,823	377	2
188	52	...	...	52	457	...	...	457	115	...	...	...	...
54	1	32	11	44	5	627	16	648	...	...	6	6	...
892	45	203	47	295	323	3,412	156	3,891	3,859	24	2,194	423	1
232	69	...	...	69	485	...	...	485	178	...	...	...	...
799	53	366	71	490	255	3,208	171	3,634	3,206	23	1,723	345	1
667	24	205	50	279	95	2,494	218	2,807	2,910	40	1,957	359	20
290	79	...	...	79	834	...	...	834	196	...	...	...	...
558	50	113	42	205	604	1,616	160	3,380	1,024	...	1,349	218	26
135	19	...	...	19	402	...	...	402	61	...	...	...	...
6,379	261	1,818	496	2,575	1,583	22,629	1,400	25,702	22,161	188	14,701	2,587	74
1,254	364	...	...	364	3,309	...	...	3,309	992	...	...	...	...

TABLE

Statement showing the work done by

Judgeships.	Courts.	Powers.	Suits. — For disposal. — Pending from previous year.	Instituted.	Received by transfer and re-admitted.	Total.	*Ex-parte.*
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Darbhanga.	Darbhanga, 1st Court ...	O.	3,094	2,965	151	6,210	2,925
		S. C. C.	57	26	...	83	18
	Darbhanga, 2nd Court ...	O.	3,318	4,302	29	7,649	2,961
		S. C. C.	243	783	64	1,090	500
	Madhubanj, 1st Court ...	O.	2,677	4,683	529	7,889	3,731
	Madhubani, 2nd Court ...	O.	3,885	6,431	9	10,325	4,295
		S. C. C.	152	637	1	790	406
	Samastipur, 1st Court ...	O.	2,150	3,744	25	5,919	2,397
		S. C. C.	137	664	1	802	363
	Samastipur, 2nd Court ...	O.	2,330	3,285	89	5,704	1,937
	Samastipur, Additional Court ...	O.	23	...	115	138	7
	Total ...	O.	17,477	25,410	947	43,834	18,153
		S. C. C.	589	2,110	66	2,765	1,287
Bhagalpur.	Bhagalpur, 1st Court ...	O.	1,670	3,459	81	5,210	2,068
		S. C. C. ...	150	1,333	163	1,646	738
	Bhagalpur, 2nd Court ...	O.	1,889	2,673	31	4,593	1,578
		S. C. C.	223	376	100	699	135
	Bhagalpur, 1st Additional Court ...	O.	...	...	252	252	53
	Bhagalpur 2nd Additional Court ..	O.	...	...	182	182	35
	Madhipura Court	O.	4,347	8,204	117	12,668	4,273
		S. C. C.	83	569	2	654	259
	Madhipura, Additional Court ...	O.	33	...	327	360	101
	Banka Court	O.	1,013	3,488	1	4,502	1,777
		S. C. C.	48	367	...	415	155
	Subdivisional Officer Munsif Banka	O	...	1	44	45	...
	Total ...	O.	8,952	17,825	1,035	27,812	9,875
		S. C. C.	504	2,645	265	3,414	1,287

E (new)—*contd.*

Munsifs during the year 1934—*contd.*

Suits.											Miscellaneous work disposed of.		
Disposed of.									Pending.				
	With contest.				Total decided.								
Without trial.	Money.	Rent.	Title.	Total.	Money.	Rent.	Title.	Total.	Total.	Over one year.	Execution cases.	Miscellaneous Judicial cases.	Non-Judicial cases.
9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
544	27	86	48	1d1	64	3,459	107	3,630	2,567	73	1,995	405	4
3	2	...	...	2	23	–	...	23	*	...	...	...	...
749	32	85	62	179	144	3,546	199	3,889	3,623	23	2,326	508	6
235	51	..	...	51	786	...	...	786	304*	1	...	...	...
476	48	71	96	215	118	4,135	169	4,422	3,464	5	2,422	613	2
266	71	33	91	195	149	4,483	124	4,756	5,061	12	2,880	498	2
169	57	...	...	57	652	...	...	652	138	...	...	...	...
424	33	46	52	131	146	2,594	112	2,852	2,970	11	2,236	415	1
229	61	...	...	61	653	...	...	653	149	...	...	...	...
900	38	158	76	272	194	2,744	171	3,109	2,523	15	1,442	373	15
21	19	13	23	55	30	31	32	83	32	5	2	7	...
3,380	268	492	448	1,308	845	20,982	914	22,741	20,240	144	13,303	2,819	30
656	171	...	...	171	2,114	...	...	2,114	591	1	...	...	...
271	71	23	32	126	203	2,184	78	2,465	2,506	6	2,931	629	3
501	143	...	...	143	1,382	...	...	1,382	164	...	...	...	...
407	29	186	33	248	106	2,031	96	2,233	2,112	15	2,126	630	12
146	21	...	...	21	302	...	...	302	240	...	...	...	..
43	20	88	26	134	43	152	35	230	12	7	17	48	...
29	15	71	11	97	27	110	14	151	...	...	6	15	...
963	47	585	45	677	214	5,518	181	5,913	6,434	48	4,971	738	193
210	59	...	...	59	528	...	...	528	126	...	...	...	...
34	8	121	17	146	11	245	25	281	...	...	307	83	...
321	33	105	35	172	217	1,971	82	2,270	2,188	...	2,628	794	13
177	43	...	...	43	375	...	...	375	40	...	...	...	...
...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...	45	...	...	...	...
2,068	222	1,179	199	1,600	821	12,311	511	13,543	13,297	76	12,986	2,936	221
1,034	266	...	...	266	2,587	...	...	2,587	570	...	...	...	...

*60 transferred to 2nd Court Munsif Darbbanga.

TABLE

Statement showing the work done by

Judgeships.	Courts.	Powers.	Suits. For disposal. Pending from previous year.	Instituted.	Received by transfer and re-admitted.	Total.	Ex-parte.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Monghyr.	Monghyr, 1st Court	O.	1,485	3,598	147	5,230	1,609
	Monghyr, 2nd Court ...	O.	2,077	2,151	39	4,267	1,642
		S. C. C.	588	1,099	16	1,703	644
	Monghyr, 1st Additional Court ...	O.	...	...	308	308	54
	Monghyr, 2nd Additional Court ...	O.	...	...	288	288	61
	Begusarai, 1st Court... ...	O.	1,393	2,451	22	3,866	1,230
		S. C. C.	212	1,007	2	1,221	533
	Begusarai, 2nd Court ...	O.	1,603	2,684	11	4,298	1,248
	Jamui Court	O.	2,727	4,781	49	7,557	2,591
		S. C. C.	53	333	...	386	165
	Jamui. Additional Court ...	O.	43	...	508	551	176
	S. D. O. Munsif of Jamui ...	O.	...	1	15	16	...
	Total ...	O.	9,328	15,666	1,387	26,381	8,471
		S. C. C.	853	2,439	18	3,310	1,342
Purnea.	Purnea, 1st Court	O.	2,334	4,402	19	6,755	4,534
		S. C. C.	174	568	1	743	294
	Purnea Additional Court ...	O.	...	...	28	28	1
	Araria Court	O.	559	4,144	6	4,709	3,234
		S. C. C.	57	334	...	391	194
	Katihar Court ...	O.	568	3,455	9	4,032	3,771
		S. C. C.	102	407	...	509	187
	Kishanganj, 1st Court ...	O.	442	2,818	14	3,274	2,067
		S. C. C.	79	317	25	421	198
	Kishanganj, 2nd Court ...	O.	327	3,077	12	3,416	2,236
		S. C. C.	...	27	...	27	...
	Total ...	O.	4,230	17,896	88	22,214	14,843
		S. C. C.	412	1,653	26	2,091	873

E (new)—*contd.*

Munsifs during the year 1934—contd.

Suits. — Disposed of. — Without trial.	Suits. — Disposed of. — With contest. — Money.	Suits. — Disposed of. — With contest. — Rent.	Suits. — Disposed of. — With contest. — Title.	Suits. — Disposed of. — With contest. — Total.	Suits. — Disposed of. — Total decided. — Money.	Suits. — Disposed of. — Total decided. — Rent.	Suits. — Disposed of. — Total decided. — Title.	Suits. — Disposed of. — Total decided. — Total.	Suits. — Pending. — Total.	Suits. — Pending. — Over one year.	Miscellaneous work disposed of. — Execution cases.	Miscellaneous work disposed of. — Miscellaneous Judicial cases.	Miscellaneous work disposed of. — Non-Judicial cases.
9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
443	27	216	49	292	86	2,215	103	2,404	2,761	8	1,539	397	10
335	34	14	47	95	203	1,736	133	2,072	1,574	46	2,429	632	16
503	111	...	...	111	1,258	...	...	1,258	445	...	...	...	...
46	50	67	48	165	73	122	70	265	...	...	3	43	...
75	24	48	41	113	46	142	61	249	10	4	1	52	...
697	53	185	74	312	107	1,870	172	2,239	1,625	13	1,610	422	4
404	78	...	...	78	1,015	...	...	1,015	206	1	...	...	...
559	35	137	50	222	163	1,755	111	2,029	2,267	10	1,180	266	2
432	56	242	66	364	272	2,800	115	3,187	3,847	195	2,905	533	...
98	51	...	...	51	314	...	...	314	72	...	...	...	...
52	27	193	4	224	44	404	4	452	58	25	1	218	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	14	...	1	...	...
2,639	306	1,102	379	1,787	1,084	11,044	769	12,897	12,156	301	9,669	2,563	31
1,005	240	...	...	240	2,587	...	...	2,587	723	1	...	...	...
489	34	153	40	227	196	4,922	132	5,250	1,472	2	4,466	1,131	53
270	55	...	...	55	619	...	...	619	124	...	...	...	...
2	2	18	5	25	2	21	5	28	...	...	14	8	...
413	63	159	50	272	367	3,433	119	3,919	790	10	3,129	875	24
108	32	...	...	32	334	...	...	334	57	...	...	...	...
427	31	83	22	136	443	2,778	113	3,334	713	...	2,661	362	96
185	18	...	...	18	390	...	...	390	103	...	...	...	...
527	18	202	26	246	185	2,479	176	2,840	433	...	2,279	329	182
120	16	...	...	16	334	...	...	334	87	...	...	...	...
625	29	109	30	168	309	2,501	219	3,029	379	13	2,114	260	244
3	...	...	...	...	2	...	...	2	...	...	...	...	...
2,483	177	724	173	1,074	1,502	16,134	764	18,400	3,787	25	14,663	2,965	599
685	121	...	...	121	1,679	...	...	1,679	371	...	...	...	...

TABLE

Statement showing the work done by

Judgeships.	Courts.	Powers	Suits. For disposal. Pending from previous year.	Suits. For disposal. Instituted.	Suits. For disposal. Received by transfer and re-admitted.	Suits. For disposal. Total.	Suits. *Ex-parte.*
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Cuttack.	Cuttack, 1st Court ..	O.	323	853	26	1,203	181
		S. C. C.	...	...	381	581	124
	Cuttack, 2nd Court ...	O.	172	434	58	664	102
		S. C. C.	1	101	656	758	180
	Cuttack, 1st Additional Court...	O.	...	...	...	...	...
		S. C. C.	778	2,935	8	3,721	834
	Cuttack, 2nd Additional Court ...	O.	...	...	143	143	13
	Cuttack, 3rd Additional Court ...	O.	10	...	20	30	2
	Kendrapara Court	O.	483	996	11	1,490	373
		S. C. C.	22	1,105	...	1,127	400
	Kendrapara Additional Court ...	O.	...	...	44	44	2
	Jajpur Court	O.	402	720	8	1,130	142
		S. C. C.	73	1,316	...	1,389	403
	Bhadrak Court	O.	330	839	12	1,161	182
		S. C. C.	55	615	1	671	164
	Balasore Court	O.	154	583	8	745	208
		S. C. C.	177	871	7	1,055	391
	Puri, 1st Court	O.	197	494	23	714	127
		S. C. C.	224	206	2	432	86
	Puri, 2nd Court ...	O.	312	679	12	1,003	203
		S. C. C.	101	1,497	223	1,821	563
	Total ...	O.	2,383	5,598	365	8,346	1,534
		S. C. C.	1,431	8,646	1,278	11,355	3,145

E (new)—*contd.*

Munsifs during the year 1934—contd.

Suits.											Miscellaneous work disposed of.		
Disposed of.									Pending.				
	With contest.				Total decided.								
Without trial.	Money.	Rent.	Title.	Total.	Money.	Rent.	Title.	Total.	Total.	Over one year.	Execution cases.	Miscellaneous Judicial cases.	Non-Judicial cases.
9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
325	27	...	95	122	199	...	429	628	365	5	907	269	...
149	53	...	...	53	326	...	...	326	53	...	...	7	...
212	32	...	119	151	78	...	387	465	194	4	1,332	249	...
277	244	...	...	244	701	...	...	701	56	...	...	56	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,021	62	...
1,284	54	...	...	54	2,172	...	...	2,172	523	...	...	68	...
50	20	...	52	72	37	...	97	134	9	...	3	1	...
8	3	...	11	14	5	...	19	24	...	...	2	2	...
624	96	...	71	167	875	...	289	1,164	282	1	1,731	207	...
502	68	...	...	68	970	...	...	970	157	...	...	9	..
17	7	...	10	17	14	...	22	36	...	...	...	...	...
488	65	...	88	153	343	...	440	783	347	2	1,469	133	...
717	95	...	...	95	1,215	...	...	1,215	174	...	...	19	...
538	56	...	101	157	426	...	451	877	304	4	962	153	...
340	39	...	...	39	543	...	...	543	128	...	...	13	...
226	36	...	75	111	152	..	393	545	202	2	817	148	...
469	59	...	...	59	919	...	...	919	134	...	...	19	...
281	25	...	93	118	150	..	376	526	183	4	577	112	...
121	5	...	...	5	212	...	...	212	...	...	...	2	...
355	50	...	115	165	269	...	454	723	271	...	1,520	187	...
765	143	...	...	143	1,471	...	...	1,471	350	...	...	44	...
3,124	417	...	830	1,247	2,548	...	3,357	5,905	2,157	22	10,341	1,513	...
4,624	760	...	...	760	8,529	...	...	8,529	1,575	...	...	237	...

TABLE

Statement showing the work done by

Judgeships.	Courts.	Powers.	Suits. — For disposal. — Pending from previous year.	Suits. — For disposal. — Instituted.	Suits. — For disposal. — Received by transfer and re-admitted.	Suits. — For disposal. — Total.	Suits. — *Ex-parte.*
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Chota Nagpur.	Ranchi Court	O.	310	773	21	1,104	217
		S. C. C.	47	423	2	472	159
	Ranchi Additional Court ...	O.	..	...	49	49	8
	Hazaribagh Court	O.	230	448	6	684	139
		S. C. C	48	337	2	387	164
	Hazaribagh Additional Court ...	O.	...	...	32	32	2
	Giridih Court	O.	184	554	3	741	155
		S. C. C.	14	328	...	342	133
	Palamau Court	O.	207	478	14	699	122
		S. C. C.	54	351	1	406	98
	Palamau Additional Court ...	O.	...	...	22	22	1
	Khunti Court	O.	30	111	3	144	17
		S. C. C.	1	23	...	24	8
	Gumla Court	O.	64	177	9	250	47
	Simdega Court	O.	13	52	3	68	11
	Chatra Court	O.	55	211	4	270	70
		S. C C.	9	68	2	79	22
	Total ...	O.	1,093	2,804	166	4,063	789
		S. C. C.	173	1,530	7	1,710	584

E (new)—*contd.*

Munsifs during the year 1934—contd.

Suits. Disposed of. Without trial.	Suits. Disposed of. With contest. Money.	Rent.	Title.	Total.	Total decided. Money.	Rent.	Title.	Total.	Pending. Total.	Over one year.	Miscellaneous work disposed of. Execution cases.	Miscellaneous Judicial cases.	Non-Judicial cases.
9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
210	111	...	63	174	444	...	157	601	454	3	995	163	...
143	48	...	...	48	350	...	...	350	132	...	...	...	...
7	14	...	12	26	29	...	12	41	...	...	...	...	...
193	78	...	48	126	350	...	108	458	194	2	763	229	...
143	39	...	...	39	346	...	...	346	41	...	...	...	...
9	11	...	10	21	16	...	16	32	...	...	...	2	...
214	117	...	71	188	443	...	114	557	115	...	663	162	...
159	58	...	...	58	350	...	...	350	61	...	...	...	...
237	63	...	38	101	356	...	104	460	202	2	586	186	...
164	38	...	...	38	300	...	...	300	71	...	...	...	...
3	12	...	5	17	13	...	8	21	...	...	...	1	...
73	11	...	22	33	93	...	30	123	21	...	146	35	...
5	3	...	...	3	16	...	...	16	8	...	...	...	...
90	29	...	30	59	138	...	58	196	54	...	148	24	...
26	9	...	10	19	40	...	16	56	12	...	49	3	...
96	36	...	12	48	185	...	29	214	59	...	167	50	...
36	5	...	...	5	63	...	...	63	13	...	...	...	...
1,158	491	...	321	812	2,107	...	652	2,759	1,111	7	3,517	855	...
650	191	...	...	191	1,425	...	...	1,425	316	...	...	...	...

TABLE

Statement showing the work done by

Judgeships.	Courts.	Powers.	Suits. For disposal. Pending from previous year.	Instituted.	Received by transfer and re-admitted.	Total.	*Ex-parte.*
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Manbhum-Sambalpur.	Purulia Court ...	O.	472	559	12	1,043	131
		S. C. C.	223	1,115	8	1,346	539
	Purulia Additional Court ...	O.	...	...	26	26	2
	Dhanbad Court	O.	281	347	3	631	95
		S. C. C.	140	634	1	775	237
	Raghunathpur Court	O.	141	593	2	736	158
		S. C. C.	69	468	...	537	141
	Chaibasa Court	O.	67	94	6	167	30
		S. C. C.	39	153	...	192	68
	Jamshedpur Court	O.	190	561	...	751	194
		S. C. C.	231	1,376	5	1,612	590
	Sambalpur Court	O.	209	660	4	963	184
	Bargarh Court	O.	209	654	3	866	147
	Sambalpur Additional Court ...	O.	3	1	...	4	...
	Sambalpur Do. ...	O.	61	145	...	206	30
	Bargarh Additional Court ...	O.	6	5	2	13	1
	Bargarh Do. ...	O.	27	139	9	175	57
	Deputy Collector munsif Jamshedpur.	O.	...	...	1	1	...
	Total ...	O.	1,756	3,758	68	5,582	1,029
		S. C. C.	702	3,746	14	4,463	1,565
	Grand total ...	O.	102,978	186,275	17,655	306,908	105,09
		S. C. C.	6,909	33,421	3,008	43,338	14,819
	Grand total for 1933 ...	O.	92,944	179,182	21,449	293,575	109,204
		S. C. C.	7,899	33,269	1,063	42,231	14,741

* Average duration of suits from the date of

* The methods of calculation being different these figures do not agree with the

E (new)—*concld.*

Munsifs during the year 1934—concld.

Suits.											Miscellaneous work disposed of.		
Disposed of.									Pending.				
	With contest.				Total decided.								
Without trial.	Money.	Rent.	Title.	Total.	Money.	Rent.	Title.	Total.	Total.	Over one year.	Execution cases.	Miscellaneous Judicial cases.	Non-Judicial cases.
9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
300	53	...	116	169	214	...	386	600	371	15	1,169	268	...
486	136	...	...	136	1,161	...	...	1,161	185	...	...	...	...
7	3	...	8	11	7	...	13	20	6	6	...	...	...
197	48	...	78	126	194	...	224	418	213	7	727	98	...
373	84	...	...	84	684	...	...	684	91	...	...	...	...
296	55	...	47	102	373	...	183	556	180	3	696	100	...
287	42	...	...	42	470	...	...	470	67	...	...	...	...
61	11	...	23	34	61	...	64	125	42	2	128	24	...
83	17	...	...	17	168	...	...	168	24	...	...	...	...
242	59	...	26	85	330	...	191	521	228	...	1,571	112	...
643	97	...	...	97	1,330	...	...	1,330	282	1	...	...	...
344	183	...	53	236	654	...	110	764	199	1	395	74	...
338	157	...	55	212	576	...	121	697	169	2	428	70	...
...	...	4	...	4	...	4	...	4	...	...	1	...	...
89	...	55	...	55	...	174	...	174	32	...	77	13	...
3	...	4	...	4	...	8	...	8	5	1	3	2	...
58	...	29	...	29	...	144	...	144	31	...	59	11	...
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1	1	...	...	...
1,935	569	93	406	1,067	2,409	330	1,292	4,031	1,477	38	5,254	772	...
1,872	376	...	...	376	3,813	...	...	3,813	649	1	...	...	...
40,078	3,650	11,872	5,315	20,837	16,163	135,766	14,078	166,007	123,887	1,221*	125,008	24,761	1,226
14,851	3,270	...	...	3,270	32,940	...	...	32,940	7,478	3*	350	270	...
40,586	3,675	11,338	5,861	20,874	16,931	138,355	15,378	170,664	102,579	1,025	120,068	22,611	1,110
15,435	3,376	...	...	3,376	33,552	...	...	33,552	7,308	2	4,834	240	...

			Average number of days.
institution ...	Under the ordinary procedure.	Contested ...	238·4
		Uncontested ...	129·9
	Under the small cause court procedure.	Contested ...	133·2
		Uncontested ...	71·9

figures of year-old suits and of average duration given in the Imperial Table no. 29.

TABLE

Proceedings in Insolvency under the Provincial Insolvency Act, 1920,

Part I—Showing the number and results of insolvency petitions presented

Class of Courts.	Insolvency Petitions.												
	Number dealt with.						Number disposed of.						
	Pending at close of previous year.	Presented during year.			Received by transfer.	Total.	Transferred.	Withdrawn under section 14.	By composition or scheme of arrangement under section 38.	By orders of adjudication under section 27.		Dismissed under section 25.	Total.
		By debtors.		By creditors.						Receivers being appointed.	Receivers not being appointed.		
		Under arrest or imprisonment.	Not under arrest or imprisonment.										
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Courts subordinate to District Courts and specially empowered under section 3.	48	17	116	1	...	182	...	5	1	51	26	53	136
District Courts...	333	58	527	26	6	950	6	3	...	178	156	199	542
Total ...	381	75	643	27	6	1,132	6	8	1	229	182	252	678

(*) In 214 cases adjudication was annulled or prayer for absolute discharge was refused and 1 case

Part II—Showing the number of estates in the hands of Receiver

Class of Courts.	Number of Insolvent's Estates in the hands of Receivers.			Amount of Creditors' claim	
	Pending at the close of the previous year.	Placed in charge of a Receiver during the year.	Pending at the close of the year.	Unsatisfied and pending at the close of previous year.	Proved.
1	2	3	4	5	6
				Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.
Courts subordinate to District Courts and specially empowered under section 3.	47	51	63	39,541 13 2	15,305 6 3
District Courts	351	183	362	31,43,228 1 2	3,50,595 1 11
Total	398	234	425	31,82,769 14 4	3,65,900 8 2

* Difference of Rs. 1,65,393-12-0 is due to the fact that in Muzaffarpur Rs. 1,089-12-0, in Bhagalpur
who were discharged or whose

†Difference of Rs. 7,683 is due to the fact that in Saran Rs. 982, in Bhagalpur Rs. 6,519 and in Monghyr
or whose adjudications

F (new).

(V of 1920), in the province of Bihar and Orissa during the year 1934.
under section 7 of the Act, and the number of insolvents before the courts.

		Debtors adjudged to be insovent.									
		Number before the court.				Disposed of.					
								Discharged.			
Debtors sentenced under section 69.	Undischarged insolvents sent for trial under section 73 (2).	Remaining undischarged at close of previous year.	Undischarged received by transfer.	Adjudged to be insolvent during year.	Total.	Transferred.	Died.	Absolutely under section 41 (2) (a).	Conditionally under section 41 (2) (c).	Total.	Remaining undischarged at close of year [including insolvents who have been refused discharge or whose discharge remains suspended under section 41 (2) (b)].
15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26
...	...	80	...	80	160	...	...	22	3	25	108
1	...	1,218	1	366	1,585	1	6	89	56	152	1,245
1	...	1,298	1	446	1,745	1	6	111	59	177	1,353*

was dismissed.

and the progress made in winding them up.

dealt with during the year.		Amount of Insolvent's assets realized and outstanding.				
Satisfied.	Unsatisfied and pending at the close of the year.	Amount of realized assets in the hands of Receivers at the close of the previous year.	Gross amount realized during the year.	Total amount of disbursements.	Amount remaining in the hands of Receivers at the close of the year.	Remarks.
7	8	9	10	11	12	13
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
6,432 0 0	48,415 3 5	2,366 10 8	806 12 0	931 0 0	2,242 6 8	
1,13 283 3 5	32,15,146 4 8	1,90,407 4 6	47,960 1 6	39,899 10 1	1,90,784 11 11	
1,19,715 2 5	32,63,561 8 1*	1,92,773 15 2	48,766 13 6	40,830 10 1	1,93,027 2 7†	

Rs. 152,524 and in Monghyr Rs. 11,780 have not been included in column 8 as they relate to cases of persons adjudications were annulled.
Rs. 182 have not been included in column 13 as they relate to cases of persons who were discharged

TABLE G (new).

Civil business disposed of in the High Court during the year 1934.

Nature of business.	Pending at the beginning of the year.	Instituted during the year.	Revived and received by transfer during the year.	Decided.		Pending at the end of the year.	Average Duration.	
				Without contest.	With contest.		Contested.	Uncontested.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
I								
Original cases	1	1	...	...	1	1	113	...
II								
Appeals from a decision of a single Judge of the High Court in exercise of original jurisdiction including insolvency.	2	2	...	...	...	4	...	...
Appeals from a decision of a single Judge of the High Court in exercise of appellate jurisdiction.	47	13	...	11	31	18	254	238·6
Appeals from a decision of a Bench in exercise of appellate jurisdiction where there has been a difference of opinion.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Appeals from original decrees of subordinate courts.	595	184	8	70	93	624	1,317·2	626·5
Appeals from original orders of subordinate courts.	217	248	1	130	136	200	388·5	165·4
Appeals from appellate decrees of subordinate courts.	2,896	1,185	4	463	1,078	2,544	975·7	202 2
Appeals from appellate orders of subordinate courts.	41	116	...	47	45	65	273·2	75·9
III.								
Civil Review	16	26	...	23	10	9	218·2	60·7
Reference under section 27—								
Workmen's Compensation Act, 1923	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Other civil references	1	8	...	1	3	5	171·3	1
Revisions under section 25—								
Provincial Small Cause Courts Act	54	236	...	139	76	75	188·9	83·1
Other civil revisions	120	548	1	289	215	165	184·1	45·2
Miscellaneous Judicial cases ...	39	97	...	46	39	51	184·5	113·6
Applications for leave to appeal to His Majesty in Council.	26	38	...	9	30	25	279·9	178·2
Total ...	4,055	2,702	14	1,238	1,757	3,786	...	...

TABLE H.

Results of appeals in the High Court during the year **1934.**

Nature of appeals.		Affirmed.	Reversed.	Varied.	Remanded.	Otherwise disposed of. Dismissed for default.	Otherwise disposed of. Withdrawn.	Otherwise disposed of. Compromised.	Appeals summarily rejected under Order XLI, Rule 11, C. P. C.	Total.
1		2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Appeals from Original Decrees of—	District Judges ...	7	2	...	...	5	...	4	...	18
	Subordinate Judges ...	65	14	5	...	31	6	24	...	145
	Munsifs	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Deputy Collector with powers of Collector.	...	...	...	...	...	..	...	...	...
	Extra Assistant Commissioner.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Appeals from Appellate Decrees of—	District Judges ...	416	95	21	32	13	19	62	132	790
	Subordinate Judges ...	267	70	130	48	15	9	34	178	751
Appeals from Orders of—	District Judges ...	34	22	1	27	10	1	6	39	140
	Subordinate Judges ...	50	41	6	10	21	14	12	62	216
	Munsifs ...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Commissioner, Workmen's Compensation Act.	...	1	...	...	1	...	...	...	2
	From decision of a single Judge in exercise of original jurisdiction.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Total ...	839	245	163	117	96	49	142	411	2,062

BOGP (HC) 10—190—21-11-1935—VMM and others